	•
	Page 1
1	COUNTY COUNCIL OF TALBOT COUNTY, MARYLAND
2	
3	
4	Council Work Session
5	Regarding Lakeside EDUs
6	
7	July 19, 2023, 5:00 p.m.
8	
9	
10	Council Chambers, Easton, Maryland
11	
12	
13	COUNCIL MEMBERS:
14	Chuck F. Callahan
15	Pete Lesher
16	Keasha N. Haythe
17	Lynn L. Mielke
18	Dave Stepp
19	
20	Reported by
21	Diane Houlihan

	Page 2		Page 4
1	Page 2 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	1	Page 4 weren't intended to replace any current maps.
2	TRANSCRITT OF TROCLEDINGS	2	They're actually representative of what
3	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. So I want to welcome	3	the maps will look like in the 2023 Report of
4	everybody. Thanks for coming tonight.	4	the Review, which will be adopted through the
5	We've got a work session here. And we've	5	water and sewer plan amendment process. That
		6	will include the Planning Commission, Public
6	got Ray Clarke here and we've got Patrick here	7	· ·
7	and we've got Council tonight.		Works Advisory Board, adoption by the County
8	So I'm going to hand it off to you,	8	Council, final approval by MDE, and public
9	Patrick. The work session is going to be about	9	hearings. So at this point, we're waiting on a
10	the EDUs and Lakeside. So you know, if you	10	response from MDE on that issue.
11	could help us understand a little bit better on	11	But turning to the EDUs phasing issue, so
12	where we're headed with that. Okay?	12	this originally came from a letter dated
13	MR. THOMAS: Sure. Absolutely.	13	November 4, 2020, from Lee Curry at MDE. This
14	So just to briefly recap how we got to	14	was the letter from MDE giving its notice of
15	this point. So the county received a letter	15	approval of Resolution 281. And what he stated
16	from MDE on April 24th. In that letter, MDE	16	was that the resolution didn't contain the
17	requested the county provide updated figures	17	number of EDUs for the different phases of the
18	for water and sewer service for Trappe with	18	development. And he said that this information
19	correct classifications for all the town	19	is necessary to assess the adequacy of the
20	parcels. They asked for corrected Exhibits and	20	town's water and sewer systems to accommodate
21	A and B to Resolution Number 281 to address	21	and serve those EDUs.
	Page 3		Page 5
1	certain parcels that were inadvertently shown	1	He provided a table that had the EDUs for
2	on those maps even though those parcels weren't	2	the first three phases. The I believe he
3	actually part of the resolution. And they	3	said that phases two and three were based on
4	asked for updated EDUs for all phases of the	4	preliminary estimates. And MDE asked that that
5	development, which is the subject of today's	5	information be provided in the next amendment.
6	session.	6	There haven't been any amendments to the water
7	So as we discussed during the June 27th	7	and sewer plan for the town since that time.
8	work session, Mr. Clarke had sent an e-mail to	8	So now they're asking for the EDUs for all
9	MDE on May 26th with draft maps showing the	9	phases, not just the first three.
10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	primates, not just the rinst time.
	current water and sewer classifications for	10	So during the Council's work session on
11			
11 12	current water and sewer classifications for	10	So during the Council's work session on
	current water and sewer classifications for town. These were based on the 2002 Report of	10 11	So during the Council's work session on the 27th, the Council asked the town to provide
12	current water and sewer classifications for town. These were based on the 2002 Report of the Review, Resolution 259, and Resolution 281.	10 11 12	So during the Council's work session on the 27th, the Council asked the town to provide the EDUs and phasing for all phases of
12 13	current water and sewer classifications for town. These were based on the 2002 Report of the Review, Resolution 259, and Resolution 281. I followed up with a letter to MDE on	10 11 12 13	So during the Council's work session on the 27th, the Council asked the town to provide the EDUs and phasing for all phases of development. The town attorney, Lyndsey Ryan,
12 13 14	current water and sewer classifications for town. These were based on the 2002 Report of the Review, Resolution 259, and Resolution 281. I followed up with a letter to MDE on July 12th and reiterated the points in	10 11 12 13 14	So during the Council's work session on the 27th, the Council asked the town to provide the EDUs and phasing for all phases of development. The town attorney, Lyndsey Ryan, provided that information on June 30th.
12 13 14 15	current water and sewer classifications for town. These were based on the 2002 Report of the Review, Resolution 259, and Resolution 281. I followed up with a letter to MDE on July 12th and reiterated the points in Mr. Clarke's e-mail.	10 11 12 13 14 15	So during the Council's work session on the 27th, the Council asked the town to provide the EDUs and phasing for all phases of development. The town attorney, Lyndsey Ryan, provided that information on June 30th. And we've drafted a resolution that
12 13 14 15 16	current water and sewer classifications for town. These were based on the 2002 Report of the Review, Resolution 259, and Resolution 281. I followed up with a letter to MDE on July 12th and reiterated the points in Mr. Clarke's e-mail. Those draft maps were simply intended to	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So during the Council's work session on the 27th, the Council asked the town to provide the EDUs and phasing for all phases of development. The town attorney, Lyndsey Ryan, provided that information on June 30th. And we've drafted a resolution that incorporates that information in a format
12 13 14 15 16 17	current water and sewer classifications for town. These were based on the 2002 Report of the Review, Resolution 259, and Resolution 281. I followed up with a letter to MDE on July 12th and reiterated the points in Mr. Clarke's e-mail. Those draft maps were simply intended to clarify for MDE what the water and sewer	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So during the Council's work session on the 27th, the Council asked the town to provide the EDUs and phasing for all phases of development. The town attorney, Lyndsey Ryan, provided that information on June 30th. And we've drafted a resolution that incorporates that information in a format similar to that that Mr. Curry used in his
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	current water and sewer classifications for town. These were based on the 2002 Report of the Review, Resolution 259, and Resolution 281. I followed up with a letter to MDE on July 12th and reiterated the points in Mr. Clarke's e-mail. Those draft maps were simply intended to clarify for MDE what the water and sewer classifications for the town were. All of	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So during the Council's work session on the 27th, the Council asked the town to provide the EDUs and phasing for all phases of development. The town attorney, Lyndsey Ryan, provided that information on June 30th. And we've drafted a resolution that incorporates that information in a format similar to that that Mr. Curry used in his letter.

1	Page 6	1	Page 8
1	point, I'll turn it over to him, unless you all		MR. CLARKE: Yeah. The Lakeside permit
2	have any questions.	2 3	issued by the Maryland Department of the
3	MR. CALLAHAN: Does Council have any		Environment to the Town of Trappe was for
4	questions at this point? Okay.	4	100,000 gallons, correct.
5	MR. THOMAS: Thank you.	5	MR. CALLAHAN: For a certain amount?
6	MR. CALLAHAN: Mr. Clarke.	6	MR. CLARKE: Correct. And I think that
7	MR. CLARKE: I think as the Council has	7	what they're proposing is that first 100,000
8	seen with the EDUs, there is also I guess a	8	gallons would be for phases one and possibly
9	column that identified the classifications, S-1	9	phase two.
10	and S-2 and S-3. I just, going through that,	10	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
11	we just highlight that everything that was in	11	MR. CLARKE: So you know, that's kind of
12	the S-1 category of the number of EDUs, that	12	where we're at at this point in time.
13	actually came up to 1,216 EDUs. And utilizing	13	MR. LESHER: Phase one is more than 400
14	MDE's 250 gallons per EDU, the total flow would		EDUs.
15	be 304,000 gallons a day. So that's pretty	15	MR. CLARKE: Yeah. I apologize. It is.
16	much how you get that, that line of what was	16	You're correct. The phase one right now would
17	immediate priority status for the first three	17	be a total of 126,750 gallons at MDE's 250
18	phases and then, you know, the bottom for the	18	gallons per day per EDU.
19	last two phases.	19	And then phase two would then require that
20	I think there might be questions as far as	20	upgrade to another new treatment plant of
21	how the phases are, you know, how they're	21	100,000 gallons.
	Page 7		Page 9
1	described. But ultimately what was identified	1	MR. THOMAS: But right now, only phases
2	as S-1, that was the first 304,000 gallons.	2	one, five, and a portion of two are in the S-1,
3	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.	3	W-1 classification.
4	MR. THOMAS: And if you look at the draft	4	MR. CALLAHAN: Got you.
5	that we prepared based on the information	5	MS. HAYTHE: What phase
6	provided, you'll see that the total numbers of	6	MR. LESHER: How do we reconcile that,
7	EDUs in the W-1 is 1,216, as Mr. Clarke has in	7	that phase two is all in the S-2 area and phase
8	his spreadsheet.	8	five is the in the S-1 area?
9	MR. CALLAHAN: So let me ask a question.	9	MR. THOMAS: If you look at the map, the
10	So our goal is to try to do a broad picture on	10	comprehensive water and sewer plan as amended
11	the big picture of the EDUs, correct?	11	by 281, the land area for the development is
12		12	essentially split in half. You have the top
12	MR. THOMAS: Yes. So MDE has requested a	12	essentially split in half. Tou have the top
13	MR. THOMAS: Yes. So MDE has requested a breakdown of the phases of the development and	13	half as S-1, W-1, the bottom half as S-2, W-2.
	•		• •
13	breakdown of the phases of the development and	13	half as S-1, W-1, the bottom half as S-2, W-2.
13 14	breakdown of the phases of the development and the EDUs in each phase. And we've broken it	13 14	half as S-1, W-1, the bottom half as S-2, W-2. and then there's the portion that's S-3, W-3.
13 14 15	breakdown of the phases of the development and the EDUs in each phase. And we've broken it out by the phase, the use. I.e., for example,	13 14 15	half as S-1, W-1, the bottom half as S-2, W-2. and then there's the portion that's S-3, W-3. And then when you overlay the phases on
13 14 15 16	breakdown of the phases of the development and the EDUs in each phase. And we've broken it out by the phase, the use. I.e., for example, single-family lots, townhomes, commercial use.	13 14 15 16	half as S-1, W-1, the bottom half as S-2, W-2. and then there's the portion that's S-3, W-3. And then when you overlay the phases on that, as the town has done, you see you get
13 14 15 16 17	breakdown of the phases of the development and the EDUs in each phase. And we've broken it out by the phase, the use. I.e., for example, single-family lots, townhomes, commercial use. MR. CALLAHAN: Right.	13 14 15 16 17	half as S-1, W-1, the bottom half as S-2, W-2. and then there's the portion that's S-3, W-3. And then when you overlay the phases on that, as the town has done, you see you get phase one up here, phase five is over here, and
13 14 15 16 17 18	breakdown of the phases of the development and the EDUs in each phase. And we've broken it out by the phase, the use. I.e., for example, single-family lots, townhomes, commercial use. MR. CALLAHAN: Right. MR. THOMAS: And then the classifications.	13 14 15 16 17 18	half as S-1, W-1, the bottom half as S-2, W-2. and then there's the portion that's S-3, W-3. And then when you overlay the phases on that, as the town has done, you see you get phase one up here, phase five is over here, and then phase two sits almost halfway between,

	Tuiou county	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5 diy 19, 2025
	Page 10		Page 12
1	MR. THOMAS: Pull that up.	1	that was one of the things I know that came up
2	MR. CLARKE: Yeah. Ultimately the S-1	2	during MDE's review of Resolution 281 as
3	boundary right now, it's everything above where	3	amended, that they were asking for those
4	I'm going to kind of draw my pen. It does kind	4	phases. And then that's when I directed the
5	of cut down here, but then it comes down just	5	town and MDE to talk.
6	kind of. So everything above that would be	6	MS. MIELKE: So weren't they asking for
7	S-1, everything below that is S-2, other than I	7	the phases that they assumed were for S-1?
8	think this parcel here that was not called out,	8	MR. CLARKE: Correct.
9	which is S-3.	9	MS. MIELKE: But the developer changed S-2
10	MR. THOMAS: It's S-3, W-3.	10	to S-5. I mean changed phase two to phase
11	MR. CLARKE: And W-3.	11	five.
12	So at this point in time, everything	12	MR. CLARKE: We did not in any way, shape
13	that's been identified as immediate prior	13	or form identify the phases.
14	status for sewer, that's 304,000 gallons above	14	MR. LESHER: Right, right, right.
15	here.	15	MS. MIELKE: Yeah. The July map that
16	This right here I believe is apartments	16	they, among these maps, featured five phases.
17	that had been identified in that little table.	17	And then there was an August 2019 that
18	MR. LESHER: So when this came through as	18	expanded it to six phases. And phase two in
19	Resolution 281 in 2020, at that time, phases	19	the July map was redesignated as phase five in
20	one through three were just in that northern	20	that map. And then the Shops at Lakeside,
21	section. And now it's sort of been redrawn so	21	which was phase six, was added in August.
	Page 11		Page 13
1	that the phases, the phases are sequenced	1	So I mean, you know, we're playing this
2	differently.	2	game of shuffling. And only, only phases one,
3	MR. CLARKE: Yeah. And then I guess to be	3	five, and part of phase two are designated as
4	fair, we really didn't have, we didn't I	4	S-1.
5	guess we worked with the town and the developer	5	So it seems to me that we should make a
6	on what was in S-1 and S-2. We really	6	point to MDE that at this point, we can only
7	weren't I wasn't looking at the number of	7	deal with that which is part of S-1. And that
8	what phases were in those areas.	8	would be phases one, five, and two, and part of
9	MS. MIELKE: Well, wasn't it that at that	9	two, and require the developer to devise phase
10	time of Resolution 281, the phase maps from the	10	two into two sections like they originally did
11	developer weren't shared with us?	11	with phase one. So that there would be phase
12	MR. CLARKE: Originally.	12	two, section one, which would be in S-1, and
13	MS. MIELKE: Originally we had to get it	13	then phase two, section two, which would be in
14	through	14	S-2.
15	MR. CLARKE: I got them from Delmarva.	15	And then when they come back for a permit
13	The contract I got mem nom be man tan		
16	MS. MIELKE: Delmarva. So we weren't in a	16	for S-2, then we give them the EDUs for all the
		16 17	for S-2, then we give them the EDUs for all the phases of the development that are in S-2.
16	MS. MIELKE: Delmarva. So we weren't in a		•
16 17	MS. MIELKE: Delmarva. So we weren't in a position to show where the phases would be with	17	phases of the development that are in S-2.
16 17 18	MS. MIELKE: Delmarva. So we weren't in a position to show where the phases would be with respect to S-1 and S-2. And that's only come	17 18	phases of the development that are in S-2. MR. CLARKE: And just so everybody is

	J		•
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Actually section 1A.	1	MR. CLARKE: Well.
2	MR. CALLAHAN: What is getting built now?		MR. LESHER: need to turn around and
3	MR. CLARKE: Exactly, exactly.	3	ask that question of the town.
4	MR. CALLAHAN: So let's make sure	4	MR. CLARKE: I think in all fairness, both
5	everybody understands what is getting built	5	the town and the county, MDE requires you to
6	now.	6	use 250 gallons per day per EDU.
7	MR. CLARKE: Right.	7	In the case of the Region II wastewater
8	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.	8	treatment plant, we have done, we did a study
9	MR. CLARKE: And then what they've done is	9	back in 2018. (Inaudible) on record. Our
10	they've gone through this. All the orange here	10	actual flow rate per EDU is 114 gallons per
11	has now been set up as phase one, section A, B,	11	day.
12	C, D.	12	So I've had these battles with MDE where
13	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.	13	we have reporting we're using recorded and
14	MR. CLARKE: So I think as Ms. Mielke was	14	reported flows. But they're demanding I use
15	noting, it may be worthwhile just for	15	250, which is two times bigger than what we
16	clarification that this is now phase three.	16	need.
17	But then we've now had this new sewer	17	And so it becomes a real problem. MDE's
18	service area, that this might be these we	18	position is is you should use 250 when you
19	may want to call this phase let's say two or	19	don't have any other numbers. So what can
20	three or whatever that is, B. I think it's	20	happen, and more than likely is going to
21	right now phase two. This might become phase	21	happen, they're identifying this but it will
	Page 15		Page 17
1	two, section A. And then this one might become	1	become flow based. They'll be issuing the
2	phase two, section B. And then the apartments	2	permit at 100,000 gallons. But if your flow
3	down here might be phase two, section C.	3	rate is less per EDU, you can put more EDUs in.
4	MS. MIELKE: C.	4	MR. LESHER: But the State does not want
5	MR. CLARKE: But that's up to you all and	5	us to use flow gallons for this phasing. They
6	I guess the town and whatnot.	6	want us to use EDUs for this phase.
7	MR. LESHER: So with this section or phase	7	MR. CLARKE: Correct, correct, correct.
8	one, with the phase one, it's indicated here	8	MR. LESHER: And they define the first
9	that this is 507 EDUs. But the permit issued	9	permit as approximately 400 EDUs.
10	is for 400, or approximately 400 EDUs.	10	MR. THOMAS: Correct.
11	And I might allow that 407 might feel like	11	MR. CLARKE: But I would say that would be
12	approximately 400. But 507 doesn't seem like	12	the reconciliation between MDE and the town.
13	approximately 400.	13	Again, I think MDE is going to come back
14	And so does we've had that information	14	to when that wastewater treatment plant hits
15	now for about eight months. Are we making an	15	80,000 gallons, that's going to trigger the
16	adjustment to what phase one looks like to	16	capacity management plan. They're going to
17	conform to the permit issued by the State?	17	have to set something in place to manage the
18	MR. CLARKE: One thing, and to be	18	remaining 20,000 gallons.
19	honest	19	What could happen, you know, again looking
20	MR. LESHER: And that may not be a	20	at it, the flow rate is less per EDU. Then
1	•		•

			-
	Page 18		Page 20
1	You might get to that you might have let's	1	MR. THOMAS: Was it? I don't know that
2	say, I don't know, just for fun, 500 houses now	2	they specifically said. And Ray, correct me if
3	connected and you still haven't hit the 80,000.	3	I'm wrong, but I don't know that it said this
4	So that could happen depending upon the flow	4	is specifically to serve phase one of the
5	rate per house, the actual flow rate per house.	5	development.
6	MR. LESHER: Sure.	6	I think it said you've got 100,000 gallons
7	MR. CLARKE: So that's	7	per day, this includes the 37, the homes that
8	MR. LESHER: But we're being asked to	8	are being that are currently hooked up to
9	define phases in EDUs, not in gallons per day,	9	the existing facility. They have to be
10	average gallons per day.	10	redirected. That's part of your 100,000-gallon
11	MR. THOMAS: Correct.	11	allotment. And that the next, if you want more
12	MR. CLARKE: And I think that's where a	12	than that, you have to file a major permit
13	number of EDUs per phase will be monitored.	13	modification and come back.
14	But then as time goes on, you'll have that	14	MR. LESHER: Right.
15	reported data for the discharge permit.	15	MS. HAYTHE: Can we clarify?
16	So at this point in time, MDE is requiring	16	MR. CALLAHAN: That's what
17	that the town use 250 gallons per day.	17	MS. HAYTHE: Get clarification for what it
18	MR. LESHER: Right. Correct.	18	actually states.
19	And so maybe when we get to phase two,	19	MR. CLARKE: The only other thing, I mean
20	they'll use a different figure.	20	I'd also point out the likelihood of them
21	MR. CLARKE: What will happen, I think	21	building, you know, 200 or 300 houses in the
	Page 19		Page 21
1	once that treatment plant has been operating,	1	course of the next two years could be I
2	they'll have that flow rate. And whatever is	2	don't know if that's going to happen or not. A
3	being reported on that wastewater treatment	3	lot of it is going to be market driven. So
4	plant is going to define that flow rate.	4	they may have the treatment plant in place and
5	MR. LESHER: But upfront, since we don't	5	they're building houses, but they maybe have
6	have	6	less than two houses or whatnot that are
7	MR. CLARKE: Correct.	7	connected.
8	MR. LESHER: that performance data,	8	They'll then have that flow data and then
9	upfront they're asking us to use.	9	hopefully be able to report that flow data to
10	MR. CLARKE: 250.	10	MDE during that timeframe.
11	MR. LESHER: 250 and 400 EDUs.	11	So I think what we're trying to do is ask
12	MR. THOMAS: And that was what they used	12	for absolutes here based upon the 250. But
13	in their November 2020 letter as well.	13	there's a dynamic that's going to happen with
14	MR. LESHER: Right, right. So instead of	14	the market conditions.
15	phase one, 570 EDUs, do we ask for this to get	15	MR. LESHER: Sure.
16	redrawn so that it is approximately 400 EDUs as	16	MR. CLARKE: The actual flow rates and
17	following the language of MDE's letter?	17	whatnot.
18	MR. THOMAS: I don't know that the 100,000	18	MR. LESHER: And that could be taken into
19	gallons per day and 400 EDUs was necessarily	19	consideration when they do this major permit
20	tied to phase one of the development.	20	modification.
21	MS. MIELKE: I think it was.	21	MR. CALLAHAN: Correct.

	Taloot County		R Session 341, 19, 2023
	Page 22		Page 24
1	MR. LESHER: In some future, at some	1	that it's not intended to be in one and then
2	future date.	2	two and then three. It's going to be
3	MR. THOMAS: Yes.	3	MS. MIELKE: As far as this is concerned,
4	MS. MIELKE: Who does the modification?	4	in my opinion, there's an S-1 designation. And
5	MR. LESHER: I don't know. Who does that	5	that S-1 was represented to be three phases
6	modification?	6	with a certain number of EDUs.
7	MR. CLARKE: Well, anytime a permit	7	And we should emphasize with MDE that the
8	modification is going to happen, that would be	8	only thing that we can designate at this time
9	triggered by the town. The town will then have	9	is for S-1, because we don't know if there's
10	to go to MDE and then work with MDE on that	10	even going to be an S-1 out of S-2 for the
11	permit.	11	remaining phases of this development. I mean
12	So the county is not going to be involved	12	that has to go through a process.
13	in that.	13	So I think we need to go back to MDE and
14	That will be a process in which they go to	14	say okay, this is S-1. These are the phases
15	MDE requesting another 100,000 gallons. I	15	according to the developer in S-1 and these are
16	believe they're doing that now, if I'm not	16	the EDUs.
17	mistaken, they're asking for another 100,000	17	And we have to ask the developer to be
18	gallons of capacity, wastewater capacity.	18	more specific about phase two and how much EDUs
19	MR. LESHER: So we're being asked to,	19	are in S-1 and how much are going to be in S-2
20	we're being asked by MDE to define the five	20	because that's a phase that they can't go
21	phases, which now look like they, getting back	21	forward with at all until there's a
	Page 23		Page 25
1	to Lynn's question, some in the northern	1	redesignation from S-1 to S-2 for that of their
2	60 percent of the property and some in the	2	development.
3	southern 40 percent.	3	MR. THOMAS: From S-2 to S-1.
4	But the phasing plan as it's presented now	4	MS. MIELKE: Yeah. Sorry. Got it
5	is not, does not concentrate the first three	5	backwards.
6	phases or any number of phases in the northern	6	MR. LESHER: Or is there more than one way
7	60 percent and the last phases in the southern	7	to skin this cat? Could you alternatively say
8			•
	40 percent.	8	well, the plans have evolved over time. And
9	40 percent. And so if either we didn't have this	8 9	
9 10	•		well, the plans have evolved over time. And
	And so if either we didn't have this	9	well, the plans have evolved over time. And that's perfectly natural as time goes on. They
10	And so if either we didn't have this information before, although the line that was	9	well, the plans have evolved over time. And that's perfectly natural as time goes on. They refine plans. That, in fact, this is now the
10 11	And so if either we didn't have this information before, although the line that was drawn between, in the sewer tier maps, we	9 10 11	well, the plans have evolved over time. And that's perfectly natural as time goes on. They refine plans. That, in fact, this is now the phasing plan that the town and ultimately the
10 11 12	And so if either we didn't have this information before, although the line that was drawn between, in the sewer tier maps, we didn't make that up. That came from the town	9 10 11 12	well, the plans have evolved over time. And that's perfectly natural as time goes on. They refine plans. That, in fact, this is now the phasing plan that the town and ultimately the developer want for this.
10 11 12 13	And so if either we didn't have this information before, although the line that was drawn between, in the sewer tier maps, we didn't make that up. That came from the town probably ultimately to the developer.	9 10 11 12 13	well, the plans have evolved over time. And that's perfectly natural as time goes on. They refine plans. That, in fact, this is now the phasing plan that the town and ultimately the developer want for this. And it doesn't conform to that early,
10 11 12 13 14	And so if either we didn't have this information before, although the line that was drawn between, in the sewer tier maps, we didn't make that up. That came from the town probably ultimately to the developer. MR. THOMAS: Well, the town and the	9 10 11 12 13 14	well, the plans have evolved over time. And that's perfectly natural as time goes on. They refine plans. That, in fact, this is now the phasing plan that the town and ultimately the developer want for this. And it doesn't conform to that early, early on tier map that was submitted and passed
10 11 12 13 14 15	And so if either we didn't have this information before, although the line that was drawn between, in the sewer tier maps, we didn't make that up. That came from the town probably ultimately to the developer. MR. THOMAS: Well, the town and the developer were the applicants in that.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	well, the plans have evolved over time. And that's perfectly natural as time goes on. They refine plans. That, in fact, this is now the phasing plan that the town and ultimately the developer want for this. And it doesn't conform to that early, early on tier map that was submitted and passed through the process. And rather than making
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And so if either we didn't have this information before, although the line that was drawn between, in the sewer tier maps, we didn't make that up. That came from the town probably ultimately to the developer. MR. THOMAS: Well, the town and the developer were the applicants in that. MR. LESHER: And so perhaps their	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	well, the plans have evolved over time. And that's perfectly natural as time goes on. They refine plans. That, in fact, this is now the phasing plan that the town and ultimately the developer want for this. And it doesn't conform to that early, early on tier map that was submitted and passed through the process. And rather than making the phasing plan conform to the tier map, we
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And so if either we didn't have this information before, although the line that was drawn between, in the sewer tier maps, we didn't make that up. That came from the town probably ultimately to the developer. MR. THOMAS: Well, the town and the developer were the applicants in that. MR. LESHER: And so perhaps their development plans have evolved over this time	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	well, the plans have evolved over time. And that's perfectly natural as time goes on. They refine plans. That, in fact, this is now the phasing plan that the town and ultimately the developer want for this. And it doesn't conform to that early, early on tier map that was submitted and passed through the process. And rather than making the phasing plan conform to the tier map, we could turn around and modify the tier map and
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And so if either we didn't have this information before, although the line that was drawn between, in the sewer tier maps, we didn't make that up. That came from the town probably ultimately to the developer. MR. THOMAS: Well, the town and the developer were the applicants in that. MR. LESHER: And so perhaps their development plans have evolved over this time and they now no longer want to do it in that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	well, the plans have evolved over time. And that's perfectly natural as time goes on. They refine plans. That, in fact, this is now the phasing plan that the town and ultimately the developer want for this. And it doesn't conform to that early, early on tier map that was submitted and passed through the process. And rather than making the phasing plan conform to the tier map, we could turn around and modify the tier map and make the tier map conform to the phasing plan.

Page 26 1 MR. LESHER: Tier map is S-1 is the tier, 2 S-2, S-3, unprogrammed is the tier map. 3 MR. THOMAS: So we would have to do an amendment to amend the maps to change the classifications - 6 MR. LESHER: Right, right. Well, we're doing an amendment anyway. 8 MS. MIELKE: So you're saying we should change S-2 to S-1? 10 MR. LESHER: Well, I'm not saying that we should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the for - 11 should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the for - 12 town and developer would like to proceed that way because this is now the vision, because the for - 13 MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is there's S-1 right now. We have many, although they vary, designations of what phases are in the other units. But unless and until it's sold mind five, because that's what's in S-1 fight now. 14 the other units. But unless and until it's sold mind five, because that's what's in S-1 clearly designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the lime between the phases were in relationship to the lime between the phases were in relationship to the lime between the phases were in relationship to the lime between the phases were in relationship to the lime between the phases were the relationship to the lime between the phases were in relationship to the lime between the phases were in relationship to the lime between the phases were the relationship to the lime between the phases were in relationship to the lime between the phases were the relationship to the lime between the phase were the relationship to the lime between the phase were the relationship to the lime between the phase sever in relationship to the lime between the phase sever in relationship to the lime between the phase sever in relationship to the lime between the phase sever in relationship to the lime between the phase sever the relationship to the lime between the phase three. It just thad basically five excitation that the time th		Turout County		
2 S-2, S-3, upprogrammed is the tier map. 3 MR. THOMAS: So we would have to do an amendment to amend the maps to change the classifications 6 MR. LESHER: Right, right, Well, we're doing an amendment anyway. 8 MS. MIELKE: So you're saying we should change S-2 to S-1? 10 MR. LESHER: Well, I'm not saying that we should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the town and developer would like to proceed that way because this is now the vision, because 14 for 15 MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is there's S-1 right now. We have many, although 17 they vary, designations of what phases are in S-1. And that's what we tell MDE. This is what is relevant now. 10 If, as, and when there's an application for an S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for 19 ms. Although 18 the other units. But unless and until it's 19 right now. 10 Clearly designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the line between 20 doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't 10 clearly designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the line between 21 shat those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you 16 if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I man I'm certainly that would be, I would be, I would be a would acknowledge that that would be, I would be, I would be, I would be a would acknowledge that that would be, I would be a would acknowledge that that 19 probably is the simpler way to do it from a 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 5 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 6 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 6 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 6 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 6 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 6 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 6 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 6 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 6 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 6 five, the orange as phase one,				Page 28
MR. THOMAS: So we would have to do an amendment to amend the maps to change the classifications — Classifications — MR. LESHER: Right, right. Well, we're doing an amendment anyway. MS. MIELKE: So you're saying we should ehange S-2 to S-1? MR. LESHER: Well, I'm not saying that we should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the town and developer would like to proceed that way because this is now the vision, because the for — MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is they way, designations of what phases are in S-1. And that's what we tell MDE. This is what is relevant now. If, as, and when there's an application for an S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for Pege 27 The only thing is relevant are phases one, two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 right now. MR. LESHER: Tacknowledge that — MR. LESHER: Timer are bigger forces at play than simply the county's procedures. And play than simply the county's protecdures. And play than simply the county's protecdures. And play thans in the tow than developer's point of view to redraw the tiev maps instead. MR. CALLAHAN: Well, you saying that. I see you have your hand up. MR. ShOWALTER: So I don't want to speak as an advocate. I just want to provide a couple of facts. I think there's a misunderstanding on this. MR. CALLAHAN: Speak right there. MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf of Trappe East Business Trust. So there were three maps, three exhibits Pege 27 Pege 27 Pege 27 Pege 27 Pege 28 MR. CALLAHAN: Speak right there. MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter of trust. MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter of Trappe East Business Trust. So there were three maps, three exhibits Pege 29 MR. SHOWALTER: T	1	•	1	procedures.
amendment to amend the maps to change the classifications 6 MR. LESHER: Right, right, Well, we're doing an amendment anyway. 8 MS. MIELKE: So you're saying we should change S-2 to S-1? 10 MR. LESHER: Well, I'm not saying that we list should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the town and developer would like to proceed that away because this is now the vision, because list for 15 MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is the there's S-1 right now. We have many, although they vary, designations of what phases are in list selevant now. 18 S-1. And that's what we tell MDE. This is what is relevant now. 19 MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. 19 MR. SHOWALTER: Ron the maps. 10 MR. SHOWALTER: No me maps. 11 MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf of ran S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for light now. 10 The only thing is relevant are phases one, two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 right now. 10 MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that 10 MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that 11 MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that 12 MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that the time that we were doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't clearly designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the line between list has been seen in relationship to the line between list and what hose are the EDUs, we'll be back to you life if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. 10 MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly - that would be, I would acknowledge that that would be more appropriate from the town's and the developer's appropriate from the town's and the developer's popion tof view to rew draw the tier maps instead. 11 MR. SHOWALTER: So I don't want to speak as an avocate. I just want to provide a county procedural point of view, be a provided that that it mean I'm certainly - that would be, I would acknowledge that that would be, I would acknowledge that that he would be more appropriate from the town's and the developer's popionit of view to rew to want the ire maps instead. 10 All the counts' should be more appropriate fr	2	• •	2	MS. MIELKE: Than what?
5 classifications 6 MR. LESHER: Right, right. Well, we're 7 doing an amendment anyway. 8 MS. MIELKE: So you're saying we should 9 change S-2 to S-1? 10 MR. LESHER: Well, I'm not saying that we should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the town and developer would like to proceed that 12 town and developer would like to proceed that 13 way because this is now the vision, because 14 for 15 MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is 16 there's S-1 right now. We have many, although 17 they vary, designations of what phases are in 18 S-1. And that's what we tell MDE. This is 19 what is relevant now. 10 If, as, and when there's an application 21 for an S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for 21 the other units. But unless and until it's 2 S-1, it's not relevant. 21 The only thing is relevant are phases one, 4 two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 right now. 4 town, and five, because that's what's in S-1 old only thing is relevant are phases one, 4 doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't 5 clearly designated as it is now as to where the 19 phases were in relationship to the line between 10 clearly designated as it is now as to where the 11 phases were in relationship to the line between 12 S-1 and S-2. 1 So I think if we explain that to them, 14 then we just go with those three phases and say 15 that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you 16 if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. 16 would be, I would be, I would acknowledge that that 18 wouldy procedural point of view, but there are 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 county procedural point	3	MR. THOMAS: So we would have to do an	3	MR. LESHER: There are bigger forces at
MR. LESHER: Right, right. Well, we're doing an amendment anyway. MS. MIELKE: So you're saying we should change S-2 to S-1? MR. LESHER: Well, I'm not saying that we lit should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the town and developer would like to proceed that way because this is now the vision, because the for— MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is there's S-1 right now. We have many, although they vary, designations of what phases are in S-1. And that's what we tell MDE. This is what is relevant now. If, as, and when there's an application go for an S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for Page 27 the other units. But unless and until it's S-1, it's not relevant. MR. LESHER: Tacknowledge that— MR. SHOWALTER: So I don't want to speak as an advocate. I just want to provide a couple of facts. I think there's a misunderstanding on this. MR. CALLAHAN: Speak right there. MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf of Trappe East Business Trust. So there were three maps, three exhibits Page 27 The only thing is relevant are phases one, at two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 right now. MR. LESHER: Tacknowledge that— MR. SHOWALTER: The thing that I think is really important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when as the PUD phasing maps, they haven't changed from when as the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phase one and then you're going to phase two and then you're going to phase three. It just had basically five sec	4	amendment to amend the maps to change the	4	play than simply the county's procedures. And
doing an amendment anyway. MS. MIELKE: So you're saying we should change S-2 to S-1? MR. LESHER: Well, I'm not saying that we to the saying that the town and developer would like to proceed that way because this is now the vision, because MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is there's S-1 right now. We have many, although the yary, designations of what phases are in S-1. And that's what we tell MDE. This is what is relevant now. If, as, and when there's an application If or an S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for Page 27 the other units. But unless and until it's S-1, it's not relevant. MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that the confusion is that at the time that we were doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't clearly designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the line between confusion is that at the time that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say that would be, I would be increasing the phase were in relationship to the line between the weight go with those three phases and say that would be, I would be, I would be, I would be, I would acknowledge that that would be, I would be, I would acknowledge that that would be, I would acknowledge that that would be, I would acknowledge that that would be, I would be, I was a dopted, which had the apartments as phase adopted, which had the apartments as phase one, the yellow as	5	classifications	5	perhaps, they perhaps it would be more
MS. MIELKE: So you're saying we should change S-2 to S-1? MR. LESHER: Well, I'm not saying that we lid of that. I'm saying that perhaps the town and developer would like to proceed that away because this is now the vision, because large for MR. SHOWALTER: So I don't want to speak as an advocate. I just want to provide a couple of facts. I think there's a misunderstanding on this. MR. CALLAHAN: Speak right there. MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. MR. CALLAHAN: Speak right there. MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. MR. CALLAHAN: Speak right there. MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. MR. SHOWALTER: No. The simplest thing is they vary, designations of what phases are in the other units. But unless and until it's what is relevant now. If, as, and when there's an application life and the other units. But unless and until it's life the other units li	6	MR. LESHER: Right, right. Well, we're	6	appropriate from the town's and the developer's
or change S-2 to S-1? In MR. LESHER: Well, I'm not saying that we should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the town and developer would like to proceed that and the very wound for the proceed that town and developer would like to provide a and analycate. I just want to provide a town long to facts. I think there's a misunderstanding on this. MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. MR. SHOWALTER: No there exhibits Page 27 Page 27 Page 29 Page 29 I the other units. But unless and until it's to the other units. But unless and until it's to the other units. But unless and until it's two, and five, because that's in S-1 the other units. But unless and until it's two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 the other units. But unless and until it's two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 the other units. But unless and until it's two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 the other units. But unless and until it's two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 the other units. But u	7	doing an amendment anyway.	7	point of view to redraw the tier maps instead.
MR. LESHER: Well, I'm not saying that we should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the town and developer would like to proceed that way because this is now the vision, because 14 for 15 MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is 15 MR. CALLAHAN: Speak right there. 16 there's S-1 right now. We have many, although 17 they vary, designations of what phases are in 18 S-1. And that's what we tell MDE. This is 19 what is relevant now. 19 MR. CALLAHAN: Sit down here, if you 18 would, and introduce yourself. 19 MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. 19 MR. CALLAHAN: Sit down here, if you 19 would, and introduce yourself. 19 MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf 19 of Trappe East Business Trust. 10 of Trappe East Business Trust. 11 provided to you. 12 MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. 13 MR. SHOWALTER: The thing that I think is 14 really important to understand is the two 15 phasing maps, they haven't changed from when 16 clearly designated as it is now as to where the 10 the 250 units into 500 unit phases or 11 sections. But it doesn't require sequential 19 development. So there's nothing in there that 18 would be, I would acknowledge that that 18 would be, I would acknowledge that that 19 probably is the simpler way to do it from a 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 10 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 11 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 12 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 12 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 14 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 15 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 15 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 15 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 15 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 15 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 15 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 15 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as 15 five, the orange as phase on	8	MS. MIELKE: So you're saying we should	8	MR. CALLAHAN: Well, you saying that.
town and developer would like to proceed that way because this is now the vision, because for MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is there's S-1 right now. We have many, although they vary, designations of what phases are in what is relevant now. If, as, and when there's an application for an S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for The other units. But unless and until it's The only thing is relevant are phases one, two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 mRs. MIELKE: I acknowledge that mRs. MIELKE: And I think, I think the confusion is that at the time that we were doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't clearly designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the line between S-1 and S-2. So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you If, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. CALLAHAN: Sit down here, if you would, and introduce yourself. MR. SHOWALTER: So I don't want to speak as an advocate. I just want to provide a couple of facts. I think there's a misunderstanding on this. MR. CALLAHAN: Sit down here, if you would, and introduce yourself. MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf of Trappe East Business Trust. So there were three maps, three exhibits Page 27 provided to you. MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. MR. SHOWALTER: The thing that I think is really important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when 281 was adopted. And the town's PUD ordinance broke down the 281 was adopted. And the town's PUD ordinance broke down the 2.501 units into 500 unit phases or sections. But i doesn't require sequential development. So there's nothing in there that says you build out phase one and then you're going to phase two and then you're going to phase	9	change S-2 to S-1?	9	I see you have your hand up,
town and developer would like to proceed that way because this is now the vision, because for MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is there's S-1 right now. We have many, although they vary, designations of what phases are in S-1. And that's what we tell MDE. This is what is relevant now. If, as, and when there's an application If, as, and when there's an application If the other units. But unless and until it's S-1, it's not relevant. MR. CALLAHAN: Sit down here, if you would, and introduce yourself. Would And introduce yourself. Would And introduce yourself. Would to you. War. SHOWALTER: On the maps. Would, and introduce yourself. Would to you. War. SHOWALTER: On the maps. Would, and introduce yourself. Would to you. MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. MR. CALLAHAN: Sto Won had the vou. MR. CALLAHAN: Sto Won had had to you. War. SHOWALTER	10	MR. LESHER: Well, I'm not saying that we	10	Mr. Showalter.
there's S-1 right now. We have many, although they vary, designations of what phases are in they vary designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the line between the them we just go with those three phases and say that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you the they vary designated as it is now as to where the them we just go with those three phases and say that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you the they vary designated as it is now as to where the them we'll be back to you the they vary designated as it is now as to where the them we'll be back to you they that would be, I would acknowledge that that the would acknowledg	11	should do that. I'm saying that perhaps the	11	MR. SHOWALTER: So I don't want to speak
14 for 15 MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is 16 there's S-1 right now. We have many, although 17 they vary, designations of what phases are in 18 S-1. And that's what we tell MDE. This is 19 what is relevant now. 20 If, as, and when there's an application 21 for an S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for 22 S-1, it's not relevant. 3 The only thing is relevant are phases one, 4 two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 5 right now. 6 MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that 7 MS. MIELKE: And I think, I think the 8 confusion is that at the time that we were 9 doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't 10 clearly designated as it is now as to where the 11 phases were in relationship to the line between 12 S-1 and S-2. 13 So I think if we explain that to them, 14 then we just go with those three phases and say 15 that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you 16 if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. 17 MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that 18 would be, I would acknowledge that that 19 probably is the simpler way to do it from a 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 10 they vary, designations of what phases are in 16 MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. 17 MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. 18 MR. CALLAHAN: Sit down here, if you would, and introduce yourself. 18 MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf 19 MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. 20 of Trappe East Business Trust. 21 So there were three maps, three exhibits 21 provided to you. 22 S-1, it's not relevant. 23 MR. CALLAHAN: Sit down here, if you would, and introduce yourself. MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf 20 of Trappe East Business Trust. 21 So there were three maps, three exhibits 22 MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. 34 MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. 45 MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. 46 MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. 47 MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. 48 MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps. 49 provided to you. 49 Arc CALLAHAN: Sot here the maps, three exhibits 40 of Trappe East Business Trust. 40 of	12	town and developer would like to proceed that	12	as an advocate. I just want to provide a
15 MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is 16 there's S-1 right now. We have many, although 17 they vary, designations of what phases are in 18 S-1. And that's what we tell MDE. This is 19 what is relevant now. 20 If, as, and when there's an application 21 for an S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for 22 S-1, it's not relevant. 3 The only thing is relevant are phases one, 4 two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 5 right now. 6 MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that 7 MS. MIELKE: And I think, I think the 8 confusion is that at the time that we were 9 doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't 10 clearly designated as it is now as to where the 11 phases were in relationship to the line between 12 S-1 and S-2. 13 So I think if we explain that to them, 14 then we just go with those three phases and say 15 MR. CALLAHAN: Sit down here, if you would, and introduce yourself. 16 MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf 17 MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf 18 would to you. 19 provided to you. 10 MR. CALLAHAN: Sit down here, if you would, and introduce yourself. 19 MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf 10 of Trappe East Business Trust. 20 So there were three maps, three exhibits 21 provided to you. 22 MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. 23 MR. SHOWALTER: The thing that I think is really important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when 24 when 281 was adopted. 25 Had to to understand is the two 26 when 281 was adopted. 27 And the town's PUD ordinance broke down 28 the PUD phasing map that the town had in place 28 when 281 was adopted. 29 And the town's PUD ordinance broke down 29 the 29 think if we explain that to them, 29 the town's PUD ordinance broke down 29 then we just go with those three phases and say 20 that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you 21 fi, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. 29 the total think, I think the 29 the confusion is that at the time that to them, 29 the confusion is that at the time that to them, 29 the confusion is that at the time that to them, 29 the confusio	13	way because this is now the vision, because	13	couple of facts. I think there's a
there's S-1 right now. We have many, although they vary, designations of what phases are in S-1. And that's what we tell MDE. This is what is relevant now. If, as, and when there's an application for an S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for Page 27 the other units. But unless and until it's S-1, it's not relevant. The only thing is relevant are phases one, two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 math math math math math math math math	14	for	14	misunderstanding on this.
they vary, designations of what phases are in 18	15	MS. MIELKE: No. The simplest thing is	15	MR. CALLAHAN: Speak right there.
18	16	there's S-1 right now. We have many, although	16	MR. SHOWALTER: On the maps.
what is relevant now. If, as, and when there's an application If, as, and when there's an application The oran S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for Page 27 the other units. But unless and until it's S-1, it's not relevant. The only thing is relevant are phases one, MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf provided to you. MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. MR. SHOWALTER: The thing that I think is really important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that MS. MIELKE: And I think, I think the confusion is that at the time that we were doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't clearly designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the line between S-1 and S-2. So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that mould be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a county procedural point of view, but there are mark. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf of Trappe East Business Trust. So there were three maps, three exhibits MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf of Trappe East Business Trust. So there were three maps, three exhibits MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf of Trappe East Business Trust. So there were three maps, three exhibits MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. MR. SHOWALTER: Rue an I'm certainly the two you. 2 MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. MR. SHOWALTER: nothing that I think is really mportant to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when 2 81 was adopted. And the town's PUD ordinance broke down the 2,501 units into 500 unit phases or sections. But it doesn't require sequential development. So there's nothing in there that says you build out phase one and then you're going to phase two and then you're going to phase tw	17	they vary, designations of what phases are in	17	MR. CALLAHAN: Sit down here, if you
If, as, and when there's an application for an S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for Page 27 the other units. But unless and until it's So there were three maps, three exhibits Page 29 the other units. But unless and until it's The only thing is relevant are phases one, two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 fight now. MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that MS. MIELKE: And I think, I think the confusion is that at the time that we were doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't clearly designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the line between So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a county procedural point of view, but there are of Trappe East Business Trust. So there were three maps, three exhibits Page 29 MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. MR. SHOWALTER: The thing that I think is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when call important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when call important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when call important to understand is the two call important	18	S-1. And that's what we tell MDE. This is	18	would, and introduce yourself.
the other units. But unless and until it's S-1, it's not relevant. The only thing is relevant are phases one, two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't phases were in relationship to the line between the phases were in relationship to the line between S-1 and S-2. So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say the LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a county procedural point of view, but there are So there were three maps, three exhibits Page 29 Radie To So there were three maps, three exhibits Page 29 Radie To So there were three maps, three exhibits Page 29 Radie To So there were three maps, three exhibits Page 29 MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. MR. SHOWALTER: The thing that I think is really important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when call when set woo and then year the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. And the town's PUD ordinance broke down the 2,501 units into 500 unit phases or sections. But it doesn't require sequential development. So there's nothing in there that says you build out phase one and then you're going to phase two and then you're going to phase three. It just had basically five sections of 500 units. And so this map is the one that was in existence under the town zoning when 281 was adopted, which had the apartments as phase five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	19	what is relevant now.	19	MR. SHOWALTER: Ryan Showalter on behalf
the other units. But unless and until it's S-1, it's not relevant. The only thing is relevant are phases one, two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 Tight now. MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that MS. MIELKE: And I think, I think the confusion is that at the time that we were doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't phases were in relationship to the line between lasses were in relationship to the line between lasses were in relationship to the line between lasses were in the me just go with those three phases and say lasses were the EDUs, we'll be back to you lasses would be, I would acknowledge that that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a county procedural point of view, but there are lasten was and until it's provided to you. MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. MR. SHOWALTER: The thing that I think is really important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. And the town's PUD ordinance broke down the 2,501 units into 500 unit phases or sections. But it doesn't require sequential development. So there's nothing in there that says you build out phase one and then you're going to phase two and then you're going to phase three. It just had basically five sections of 500 units. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that lasted the probably is the simpler way to do it from a going to phase two and the apartments as phase ocounty procedural point of view, but there are labeled to you. The only the other in the time that it hink is really important to understand is the two phase in the two on the two on the proving maps, they haven't changed from when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, th	20	If, as, and when there's an application	20	of Trappe East Business Trust.
the other units. But unless and until it's S-1, it's not relevant. MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. MR. SHOWALTER: The thing that I think is two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that MS. MIELKE: And I think, I think the confusion is that at the time that we were doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't phases were in relationship to the line between S-1 and S-2. So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say MR. SHOWALTER: The thing that I think is really important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. And the town's PUD ordinance broke down the 2,501 units into 500 unit phases or 11 sections. But it doesn't require sequential development. So there's nothing in there that says you build out phase one and then you're going to phase two and then you're going to that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that mould be, I would acknowled	21	for an S-2, then we'll give you the EDUs for	21	So there were three maps, three exhibits
S-1, it's not relevant. The only thing is relevant are phases one, two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 right now. MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that MR. MIELKE: And I think, I think the confusion is that at the time that we were doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't phases were in relationship to the line between so I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say the And when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that mreally important to understand is the two mreally important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. And the town's PUD ordinance broke down the 2,501 units into 500 unit phases or sections. But it doesn't require sequential development. So there's nothing in there that says you build out phase one and then you're going to phase two and then you're going to phase three. It just had basically five sections of 500 units. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that mreally important to understand is the two manually important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when and		Page 27		Page 29
The only thing is relevant are phases one, two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 Tright now. MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that MR. MIELKE: And I think, I think the confusion is that at the time that we were doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't phases were in relationship to the line between S-1 and S-2. So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say then we just go with those three phases and say fig. as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a MR. SHOWALTER: The thing that I think is really important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when cally important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when cally important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when cally important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when cally important to understand is the two phasing maps, they haven't changed from when cally important to understand is the two changed from when cally important to understand is the two changed from when cally important to understand is the two changed from when cally important to understand is the two changed from when cally important to understand is the two changed from when cally important to understand is the two changed from when call in the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. And the town's PUD ordinance broke down the 2,501 units into 500 unit phase or sections. But it doesn't r	1	the other units. But unless and until it's	1	provided to you.
two, and five, because that's what's in S-1 tright now. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had the town had in place when 281 was adopted. mathematical right now had the town had in place when 28	2	S-1, it's not relevant.	2	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
fright now. MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that MR. MIELKE: And I think, I think the confusion is that at the time that we were doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't phases were in relationship to the line between So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say then we just go with those three phases and say then we just go with those three phases and say final, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a county procedural point of view, but there are self was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing maps, they haven't changed from when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing maps, they haven't changed from when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing maps, they haven't changed from when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing maps, they haven't changed from when 281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was the PUD phasing maps, they haven't changed from when 281 was adopted. Phase the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. And the town's PUD ordinance broke down the 2,501 units into 500 unit phases or 12 development. So there's nothing in there that says you build out phase one and then you're going to phase two and then you're going to phase three. It just had basically five sections of 500 units. And so this map is the one that was in existence under the town zoning when 281 was adopted, which had the apartments as phase five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	3	The only thing is relevant are phases one,	3	MR. SHOWALTER: The thing that I think is
MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that MS. MIELKE: And I think, I think the confusion is that at the time that we were doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't clearly designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the line between So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you fi, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a confusion is that at the time that think the the PUD phasing map that the town had in place when 281 was adopted. And the town's PUD ordinance broke down the 2,501 units into 500 unit phases or sections. But it doesn't require sequential development. So there's nothing in there that says you build out phase one and then you're going to phase two and then you're going to phase three. It just had basically five sections of 500 units. And so this map is the one that was in existence under the town zoning when 281 was adopted, which had the apartments as phase five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	4	two, and five, because that's what's in S-1	4	really important to understand is the two
MS. MIELKE: And I think, I think the confusion is that at the time that we were doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't clearly designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the line between S-1 and S-2. So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you fit, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that phases is the at the time that we were when 281 was adopted. And the town's PUD ordinance broke down the 2,501 units into 500 unit phases or sections. But it doesn't require sequential development. So there's nothing in there that says you build out phase one and then you're going to phase two and then you're going to phase three. It just had basically five sections of 500 units. And so this map is the one that was in existence under the town zoning when 281 was adopted, which had the apartments as phase five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	5	right now.	5	phasing maps, they haven't changed from when
8 confusion is that at the time that we were 9 doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't 10 clearly designated as it is now as to where the 11 phases were in relationship to the line between 12 S-1 and S-2. 13 So I think if we explain that to them, 14 then we just go with those three phases and say 15 that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you 16 if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. 17 MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that 18 would be, I would acknowledge that that 19 probably is the simpler way to do it from a 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	6	MR. LESHER: I acknowledge that	6	281 was adopted. This map dated 2019, this was
doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't clearly designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the line between 11 sections. But it doesn't require sequential development. So there's nothing in there that 12 S-1 and S-2. 12 development. So there's nothing in there that 13 says you build out phase one and then you're 14 then we just go with those three phases and say 15 that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you 16 if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. 17 MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that 18 would be, I would acknowledge that that 19 probably is the simpler way to do it from a 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	7	MS. MIELKE: And I think, I think the	7	the PUD phasing map that the town had in place
clearly designated as it is now as to where the phases were in relationship to the line between So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you fi, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a clearly designated as it is now as to where the the 2,501 units into 500 unit phases or the 2,501 units into 500 unit phase or the 2,501 units into 500 unit phases or the 2,501 units into 500 units into 500 units in the 2,501 units into 500 units into 500 units into 500 units into 500 units in the 2,501 units into 4,501 units into	8	confusion is that at the time that we were	8	when 281 was adopted.
phases were in relationship to the line between S-1 and S-2. So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a county procedural point of view, but there are 11 sections. But it doesn't require sequential development. So there's nothing in there that going to phase two and then you're going to phase three. It just had basically five sections of 500 units. And so this map is the one that was in existence under the town zoning when 281 was adopted, which had the apartments as phase five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	9	doing this or sharing these maps, it wasn't	9	And the town's PUD ordinance broke down
S-1 and S-2. So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a county procedural point of view, but there are 12 development. So there's nothing in there that says you build out phase one and then you're going to phase three. It just had basically five sections of 500 units. And so this map is the one that was in existence under the town zoning when 281 was adopted, which had the apartments as phase five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	10	clearly designated as it is now as to where the	10	the 2,501 units into 500 unit phases or
So I think if we explain that to them, then we just go with those three phases and say that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a county procedural point of view, but there are 13 says you build out phase one and then you're going to phase two and then you're phase three. It just had basically five sections of 500 units. And so this map is the one that was in existence under the town zoning when 281 was glopted, which had the apartments as phase five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	11	phases were in relationship to the line between	11	sections. But it doesn't require sequential
then we just go with those three phases and say that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a county procedural point of view, but there are 14 going to phase two and then you're going to phase three. It just had basically five sections of 500 units. 17 And so this map is the one that was in existence under the town zoning when 281 was 19 adopted, which had the apartments as phase 20 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	12	S-1 and S-2.	12	development. So there's nothing in there that
that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a county procedural point of view, but there are phase three. It just had basically five sections of 500 units. And so this map is the one that was in existence under the town zoning when 281 was five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	13	So I think if we explain that to them,	13	says you build out phase one and then you're
if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1. MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a county procedural point of view, but there are 16 sections of 500 units. 17 And so this map is the one that was in 18 existence under the town zoning when 281 was 19 adopted, which had the apartments as phase 20 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	14	then we just go with those three phases and say	14	going to phase two and then you're going to
MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that would be, I would acknowledge that that probably is the simpler way to do it from a county procedural point of view, but there are 20 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	15	that those are the EDUs, we'll be back to you	15	phase three. It just had basically five
would be, I would acknowledge that that 19 probably is the simpler way to do it from a 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 18 existence under the town zoning when 281 was 19 adopted, which had the apartments as phase 20 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	16	if, as, and when we go to S-2, from S-2 to S-1.	16	sections of 500 units.
probably is the simpler way to do it from a 20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	17	MR. LESHER: I mean I'm certainly that	17	And so this map is the one that was in
20 county procedural point of view, but there are 20 five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as	18	would be, I would acknowledge that that	18	existence under the town zoning when 281 was
	19	probably is the simpler way to do it from a	19	adopted, which had the apartments as phase
21 higger forces in play here than the country's 21 phase two	20	county procedural point of view, but there are	20	five, the orange as phase one, the yellow as
21 bigger forces in play here than the country's 21 phase two.	21	bigger forces in play here than the county's	21	phase two.

	Tulou County		
	Page 30		Page 32
1	And the compromise that was negotiated	1	we intend to start at the northwest and proceed
2	when 281 was adopted was to basically make half	2	to the south.
3	of the project S-1 and half S-2.	3	But this was the regulatory plan in effect
4	And as Ms. Mielke noted, part of phase	4	with the town in 2019 when 281 was adopted.
5	five is in S-1, part of S-2 (sic.) is in S-1	5	And we understood and intended that parts of
6	and phase three and phase four are in S-2. We	6	phase five and two were in S-1 and that the
7	understand that.	7	balance of phase two, three, and four were in
8	And there's nothing in the zoning or in	8	S-2.
9	our development intentions that says we're	9	And then the only change between the 2019
10	going to go from one to two to three. It's	10	map and 2021 phasing map, if you look closely,
11	likely there will be product available in	11	in section one of phase one, the community
12	multiple phases at the same time. So it's	12	center, pool, and public amenities were up next
13	likely there will be housing developed in phase	13	to Route 50. And as we get into detailed
14	one, two, and five so that there are	14	development rather than a master plan, it was
15	apartments, townhouses, and single-family	15	decided to move them more central in phase one.
16	available, all of which	16	And so the town said we understand this is
17	MS. MIELKE: If it's not S-1.	17	a living, breathing document that's going to
18	MR. SHOWALTER: All of which is in S-1.	18	evolve, we think it's better to have the public
19	So one, two, and five all touch right here.	19	amenities central to phase one, we want the
20	MS. MIELKE: Right.	20	master plan updated as these sections are
21	MR. SHOWALTER: So all of that may be	21	actually engineered and designed and approved.
	Page 31		Page 33
1	occurring in phase one. I'm sorry. All that	1	So if you compare the two, the only
2	may be occurring at the same time under the S-1	2	substantive change really is to take that large
3	designation in three separate phases.	3	community amenity and move it into the center
4	And I think the thing that's causing	4	of phase one. And this park in the back side
5	confusion, I understand this exhibit the county	5	of phase one was made larger. The phasing, the
6	obtained from Delmarva Power from Mr. Buxton?	6	unit numbers, everything else is identical to
7	MR. THOMAS: I believe so.	7	what was adopted in 2019.
8	MR. SHOWALTER: This exhibit is something	8	This was updated just to show the
9	that we produced for Delmarva Power to show the	9	evolution of the land plan from a master plan
10	general sequence that we're going to develop.	10	to a subdivision, specific subdivision design.
11	MS. MIELKE: But it wasn't produced to the	11	So I think that's the use of the word
12	county.	12	phase I think is confusing your discussion
13	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, because it wasn't	13	because it doesn't connote sequential
14	it's not an official phasing map. It basically	14	development.
15	said we're starting here and going south.	15	MR. LESHER: When MDE is asking us to
16	And that's why it showed one, two, three	16	break this into five phases and to give them a
17	going south. This was for Delmarva Power, how	17	phasing plan.
18	do we intend to build this out. It's not a	18	Are they I mean they're not specifying
19	regulatory map to say this is going to be the	19	that it doesn't matter what sequence you do
20	second phase after these 500 units were built.	20	these phases in or whether you complete one
21		I	
16 17 18	And that's why it showed one, two, three going south. This was for Delmarva Power, how do we intend to build this out. It's not a	16 17 18	break this into five phases and to give them a phasing plan. Are they I mean they're not specifying

_	Tulou County		<u> </u>
	Page 34		Page 36
1	They it's perhaps it isn't explicit	1	with what your plan is for the EDUs at that
2	that there's a sequencing in this request.	2	time. You can't predict them now.
3	MR. SHOWALTER: I think, I mean water and	3	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, we have a master
4	sewer and plans under State law are	4	plan that shows what they are
5	infrastructure planning documents. They're not	5	MS. MIELKE: Well, you keep
6	growth management tools.	6	MR. SHOWALTER: now.
7	And I think MDE wants to say we want to	7	MS. MIELKE: But the map that you produced
8	know how many units are going to being built in	8	says well, you know, we're not committed to
9	this area and we want to make sure that the	9	this because we can change it at any time, and
10	infrastructure is phased and planned to be	10	bip, bip, bip. So I mean this is just
11	available as it's needed.	11	meaningless because this is saying we can
12	MR. LESHER: Right.	12	change it at any time, we're not bound by this.
13	MR. SHOWALTER: And so what the town	13	So I'm just saying that if that's the
14	provided you, which I don't have this	14	case, we only focus on S-1 and give them that
15	exhibit.	15	information, explain to them that we'll come
16	MR. THOMAS: That document.	16	back when S-2 becomes S-1 with the phases.
17	MR. SHOWALTER: This one identifies the	17	MS. HAYTHE: Can we actually do that for
18	number of units in each phase and the portion	18	MDE, though? Is that what they requested?
19	that are in S-1 versus S-2.	19	I mean why can't we just outline what
20	MS. MIELKE: My point is that we don't	20	phases are in S-1 and S-2?
21	have to go past the phases that are in S-1.	21	MR. THOMAS: Well, that's how it's
	Page 35		Page 37
1	Period, end of discussion.	1	drafted. I mean that's what they asked for.
2	If, as, and when you go from S-2 to S-1	2	I will note originally, I think it was
3	for the second part of your development, then	3	known at the time 281 was adopted, there were
4	we come in with EDUs for those phases of the	4	going to be more than three phases and five
5	development that are shown on there. That's my	5	phases.
6	point.		1
7	point.	6	They only included the first three phases
1	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested	6 7	•
8			They only included the first three phases
	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested	7	They only included the first three phases in their letter. So it is possible that at the
8	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested information on all of them.	7 8	They only included the first three phases in their letter. So it is possible that at the time, they were only looking they said we
8 9	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested information on all of them. MS. MIELKE: I don't think MDE	7 8 9	They only included the first three phases in their letter. So it is possible that at the time, they were only looking they said we only want phases one and two and three. And
8 9 10	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested information on all of them. MS. MIELKE: I don't think MDE MR. SHOWALTER: It's available.	7 8 9 10	They only included the first three phases in their letter. So it is possible that at the time, they were only looking they said we only want phases one and two and three. And that may be because those, from their
8 9 10 11	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested information on all of them. MS. MIELKE: I don't think MDE MR. SHOWALTER: It's available. MS. MIELKE: I don't know if MDE	7 8 9 10 11	They only included the first three phases in their letter. So it is possible that at the time, they were only looking they said we only want phases one and two and three. And that may be because those, from their perspective, those were the phases in the S-1,
8 9 10 11 12	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested information on all of them. MS. MIELKE: I don't think MDE MR. SHOWALTER: It's available. MS. MIELKE: I don't know if MDE understood that there's an S-1 part of the	7 8 9 10 11 12	They only included the first three phases in their letter. So it is possible that at the time, they were only looking they said we only want phases one and two and three. And that may be because those, from their perspective, those were the phases in the S-1, W-1 designation and they didn't necessarily expect us to bring or the town to provide that information
8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested information on all of them. MS. MIELKE: I don't think MDE MR. SHOWALTER: It's available. MS. MIELKE: I don't know if MDE understood that there's an S-1 part of the development and an S-2. I don't know that they	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	They only included the first three phases in their letter. So it is possible that at the time, they were only looking they said we only want phases one and two and three. And that may be because those, from their perspective, those were the phases in the S-1, W-1 designation and they didn't necessarily expect us to bring or the town to provide that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested information on all of them. MS. MIELKE: I don't think MDE MR. SHOWALTER: It's available. MS. MIELKE: I don't know if MDE understood that there's an S-1 part of the development and an S-2. I don't know that they understood that.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	They only included the first three phases in their letter. So it is possible that at the time, they were only looking they said we only want phases one and two and three. And that may be because those, from their perspective, those were the phases in the S-1, W-1 designation and they didn't necessarily expect us to bring or the town to provide that information
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested information on all of them. MS. MIELKE: I don't think MDE MR. SHOWALTER: It's available. MS. MIELKE: I don't know if MDE understood that there's an S-1 part of the development and an S-2. I don't know that they understood that. And we have to bring it to their attention	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	They only included the first three phases in their letter. So it is possible that at the time, they were only looking they said we only want phases one and two and three. And that may be because those, from their perspective, those were the phases in the S-1, W-1 designation and they didn't necessarily expect us to bring or the town to provide that information MS. MIELKE: remind them of that.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested information on all of them. MS. MIELKE: I don't think MDE MR. SHOWALTER: It's available. MS. MIELKE: I don't know if MDE understood that there's an S-1 part of the development and an S-2. I don't know that they understood that. And we have to bring it to their attention that really right now, we're focused on what is	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	They only included the first three phases in their letter. So it is possible that at the time, they were only looking they said we only want phases one and two and three. And that may be because those, from their perspective, those were the phases in the S-1, W-1 designation and they didn't necessarily expect us to bring or the town to provide that information MS. MIELKE: remind them of that. MR. THOMAS: and we're still at that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested information on all of them. MS. MIELKE: I don't think MDE MR. SHOWALTER: It's available. MS. MIELKE: I don't know if MDE understood that there's an S-1 part of the development and an S-2. I don't know that they understood that. And we have to bring it to their attention that really right now, we're focused on what is S-1 and the three phases that you have and what	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	They only included the first three phases in their letter. So it is possible that at the time, they were only looking they said we only want phases one and two and three. And that may be because those, from their perspective, those were the phases in the S-1, W-1 designation and they didn't necessarily expect us to bring or the town to provide that information MS. MIELKE: remind them of that. MR. THOMAS: and we're still at that point.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SHOWALTER: Well, I mean MDE requested information on all of them. MS. MIELKE: I don't think MDE MR. SHOWALTER: It's available. MS. MIELKE: I don't know if MDE understood that there's an S-1 part of the development and an S-2. I don't know that they understood that. And we have to bring it to their attention that really right now, we're focused on what is S-1 and the three phases that you have and what the EDUs are for those phases.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	They only included the first three phases in their letter. So it is possible that at the time, they were only looking they said we only want phases one and two and three. And that may be because those, from their perspective, those were the phases in the S-1, W-1 designation and they didn't necessarily expect us to bring or the town to provide that information MS. MIELKE: remind them of that. MR. THOMAS: and we're still at that point. MR. LESHER: MDE's understanding of the

Page 38 1 MR. LESHER: of the first three phases 1 plan to reclassify that area from	
	Page 40
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	S-2 to W-2 to
2 pertaining to S-1. 2 S-1, W-1. We agree on that.	
3 MS. MIELKE: And that's all we should 3 MS. MIELKE: And then give	ve the EDUs for
4 address at this time. It's premature. 4 those phases that are in S-2.	
5 I mean they've admitted that it's going to 5 MR. CLARKE: Right.	
6 be ever changing. So if half of the 6 MS. MIELKE: I mean it ma	kes
7 subdivision is not even S-1, then why do we 7 MR. THOMAS: And that w	ould have to go,
8 report the EDUs? We just report them for 8 again, that would have to go three	ough the
9 section, for S-1. 9 amendment process.	
10 MR. LESHER: Just to be responsive to what 10 MR. CLARKE: The process	ses.
11 MES is requesting. 11 MS. MIELKE: Absolutely.	
12 MS. MIELKE: And I think they'll 12 MR. LESHER: So perhaps t	he phasing, the
13 understand that. 13 development phasing plan is irre	elevant here.
14 I just don't think that they're clear on 14 MS. MIELKE: Correct.	
15 the fact that this subdivision has a line 15 MR. LESHER: And	
16 across it that says S-1, S-2. 16 MS. MIELKE: Well, no.	
So why would we give them S-2 if it's not 17 MR. LESHER: To be respon	nsive to MDE,
even designated as S-1? 18 which is also using the word phase	asing, but not
MR. CALLAHAN: Well, I would like to ask 19 following the phasing which the	developer says
20 Mr. Clarke that question. 20 is going to follow a general nort	h to south
21 So Ray, can you kind of clarify you 21 pattern anyway, perhaps what w	re need to request
Page 39	Page 41
1 talking to MDE? Do they realize there's a line 1 is a phasing plan that does reflect	ct the
2 there that we've established? 2 sequential plan for how Lakesid	e would be
3 MR. CLARKE: They are aware of this being 3 developed.	
4 classified as S-1 4 MS. MIELKE: But you can'	t do that because
5 MR. CALLAHAN: Bear with me. I want 5 there's an S-1 section	
6 Ms. Mielke to listen to this. 6 MR. LESHER: Yes.	
7 MS. MIELKE: I'm sorry. 7 MS. MIELKE: and S-2.	
8 MR. CLARKE: I was going to say MDE is 8 MR. LESHER: And that sho	ould
9 aware that this has been broken up into an S-1 9 MS. MIELKE: So we're mo	ving forward,
	was a permit
area and an S-2 area for both, for the sewer 10 because what they're doing is, it	o Olsov And
area and an S-2 area for both, for the sewer 10 because what they're doing is, it system as well as the water system. They are 11 approved for basically phase one	e. Okay. Allu
	-
11 system as well as the water system. They are 11 approved for basically phase one	application,
11 system as well as the water system. They are 11 approved for basically phase on 12 aware of that. 12 then they've submitted another a	application,
11 system as well as the water system. They are 12 aware of that. 13 So I mean that's part of when they were 11 approved for basically phase one 12 then they've submitted another a 13 presumably for phase two, but	application,
11 system as well as the water system. They are 12 aware of that. 13 So I mean that's part of when they were 14 reviewing Resolution 281, those maps were 15 approved for basically phase on then they've submitted another approximately presumably for phase two, but	application, - 0,000 gallons,
11 system as well as the water system. They are 12 aware of that. 13 So I mean that's part of when they were 14 reviewing Resolution 281, those maps were 15 presented to MDE for their review. So yes, 16 approved for basically phase on the they've submitted another at the presumably for phase two, but	application, - 0,000 gallons, ,000. Right.
11 system as well as the water system. They are 12 aware of that. 13 So I mean that's part of when they were 14 reviewing Resolution 281, those maps were 15 presented to MDE for their review. So yes, 16 they are aware of that. 11 approved for basically phase one 12 then they've submitted another a 13 presumably for phase two, but - 14 MR. THOMAS: Another 10 15 however apportioned. 16 MS. MIELKE: Another 100	application, 0,000 gallons, ,000. Right.
system as well as the water system. They are aware of that. So I mean that's part of when they were reviewing Resolution 281, those maps were presented to MDE for their review. So yes, they are aware of that. MS. MIELKE: Another 100 And I think Ms. Mielke is correct. I mean 11 approved for basically phase on the they've submitted another appresumably for phase two, but— MR. THOMAS: Another 100 however apportioned. MS. MIELKE: Another 100 And so that is in S-1 area, however apportions.	application, 0,000 gallons, 0,000. Right. ow many EDUs. o define the
system as well as the water system. They are aware of that. So I mean that's part of when they were reviewing Resolution 281, those maps were presented to MDE for their review. So yes, they are aware of that. MS. MIELKE: Another 100 And I think Ms. Mielke is correct. I mean if you're now going to be doing any development approved for basically phase on the presumably for phase two, but MR. THOMAS: Another 100 however apportioned. MS. MIELKE: Another 100 And so that is in S-1 area, ho So I mean I think you have to	application, 0,000 gallons, 0,000. Right. ow many EDUs. o define the to that at

	Turou County		
	Page 42		Page 44
1	becomes S-1, then EDUs or the phases will be	1	least the first three phases.
2	provided with that application.	2	MDE doesn't need us to be responsive about
3	Why provide it now? Because as Ryan	3	four and five. That can be farther in the
4	admitted, we don't know, it could change.	4	future.
5	MR. CLARKE: I mean I'm assuming. Again,	5	But just for that, what is the sequencing,
6	this is just me just trying to help out. I	6	what does the sequencing of the northern part
7	mean I don't think the town is going to care if	7	of this look like for the first three phases
8	we decided to say hey, we want to change the	8	and as delineated on a map and with the
9	way you've listed your phases here.	9	intended number of, importantly, for MDE's
10	I mean the county I guess could come in	10	sake, with the number of EDUs attached to each
11	and say, well the orange area is phase one,	11	of those three phases.
12	we're going to follow your section idea. And	12	MS. MIELKE: That's what I thought I was
13	then we're going to say okay, the green area,	13	saying.
14	from the county's standpoint, we'll call that	14	MR. LESHER: Okay.
15	either phase two or phase three. And then	15	MR. THOMAS: I think that makes sense.
16	ultimately, this now is phase two.	16	MR. LESHER: Thank you.
17	And then the other two areas that we've	17	MR. CALLAHAN: So I would like to ask.
18	identified. So I guess these two areas here	18	Mr. Lesher, so you're asking the town to do
19	that were identified an S-1 area, they become	19	that. And so what have they done they did
20	phase three, section A, section B, or however	20	something and clarified that one map.
21	we want to set that up.	21	What would be the difference?
	Page 43		Page 45
1	So now, you know, we walk through our	1	MR. LESHER: Well, presumably the
2	little table, and it just goes this is phase	2	difference is that it would reflect more of a
3	one, section dotta, dotta, dotta, this is phase	3	north to south build-out, as opposed to this
4	two, section two, dotta, dotta, dotta.	4	phasing plan, which really may not be
5	The town can have their map. We can have	5	sequential phases. It's really neighborhoods
6	our map that shows that this is the phases that	6	of different character or something to that
7	we have incorporated in the comp water and	7	effect in the envisioned future of Lakeside.
8	sewer plan. And it just, you know, somebody	8	MS. MIELKE: It's just identifying the
9	would just have to look at the county's comp	9	areas that are S-1.
10	water and sewer plan and say okay, this is how	10	MR. CALLAHAN: Yeah. Go ahead.
11	we've called your phase two, this is how we've	11	MS. MIELKE: It's just designating the
12	called your phase three. That's one way to go	12	areas that are S-1.
13	about this.	13	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
14	MR. LESHER: That might help add a	14	MS. HAYTHE: Yeah. Because I mean Ryan
15	little I mean all MDE is asking for is	15	already said that they're not going to go in
16	clarity on this. And right now, I think we	16	order, though.
17	don't have a whole lot of clarity on this.	17	MR. CALLAHAN: Right.
18	So perhaps what we could do is let's ask	18	MS. HAYTHE: I mean they can pick an area
19	the town for a phasing plan to follow MDE's	19	that's designated S-1, W-1 to build, and it's
20		I	
20	language that reflects the sequencing, the	20	not going to be in order, which I think you're

	Tuloot County		,
	Page 46		Page 48
1	order.	1	that's what we provided.
2	MS. MIELKE: Well.	2	MR. CALLAHAN: Wait a minute. Ray, could
3	MR. LESHER: I mean they're not going to	3	you let her sit there for a minute, please?
4	build a house over here and then a house over	4	Thank you.
5	there.	5	MS. RYAN: Sorry.
6	MS. MIELKE: They have to build it within	6	MR. CALLAHAN: Could you just introduce
7	the S-1 district.	7	yourself.
8	MS. HAYTHE: Absolutely.	8	MS. RYAN: Yes. Lyndsey Ryan on behalf of
9	MR. THOMAS: Right. I think what	9	the Town of Trappe.
10	they're	10	I think that's what this map does. It has
11	MS. MIELKE: Which is what they're	11	the phases, it has the EDUs per phase, and it
12	reflecting.	12	designates what is S-1 and W-1.
13	MR. THOMAS: Yeah. They're saying we're	13	I think the sequencing is more of a
14	going to go in	14	control over the Town of Trappe and trying to
15	MS. MIELKE: Different areas.	15	regulate when and where within the S-1, W-1
16	MR. THOMAS: We're not going to go from	16	they're going to develop. And that's a
17	one to two to three to four.	17	determination for the Town of Trappe.
18	MS. MIELKE: Correct.	18	And I think the MDE letter didn't ask the
19	MR. THOMAS: But we're also not going to	19	county to define areas or determine areas.
20	go do some in S-1, then go down to S-2 and then	20	It's asking you to provide the information,
21	it's	21	which the Town of Trappe has provided to you.
	Page 47		Page 49
1	MR. LESHER: This is not going to be	1	MS. MIELKE: (Inaudible.)
2	willy-nilly.	2	MR. CALLAHAN: So I'm
3	MR. THOMAS: No.	3	MS. RYAN: The sentence does say.
4	MR. LESHER: It's going to be a logical	4	MR. CALLAHAN: No problem. Just a second.
5	sequence.	5	So with the line from the north to south,
6	MR. THOMAS: Logical order.	6	you defined it from south to the line and
7	MR. LESHER: Right.	7	determined how many EDUs that is.
8	MS. HAYTHE: But that's understood anyway	8	MS. RYAN: Correct.
9	because to get to S-1, you have to apply for	9	MR. CALLAHAN: So then we'll get past
10	the amendment anyway if it's S-2.	10	that. That's a whole nother calculation of
11	MR. THOMAS: Correct.	11	EDUs.
12	MS. HAYTHE: So they wouldn't do that	12	MS. RYAN: The information is included in
13	anyway. I mean that's just	13	this map because the letter from MDE said EDUs
14	MS. MIELKE: What we need is a map that	14	per phase. And I think from our last meeting,
15	shows S-1 and the various stages of, phases of	15	you all further wanted clarity to have the map
16	development that the developer is proposing all	16	overlay show what was designated S-1, W-1
17	in just S-1 and then the EDUs for those.	17	versus S-2, W-2.
18	MS. HAYTHE: That makes sense.	18	MR. CALLAHAN: Right.
19	MR. CALLAHAN: Hey, Lyndsey, would you	19	MS. RYAN: Which we added to this map.
20	like to come up?	20	MR. CALLAHAN: So that's what I was trying
21	MS. RYAN: I guess my confusion is I think	21	to get in my head. Really at the line from

-	1101	
Page 50		Page 52
there up and there down, it's all been	1	MS. MIELKE: So it's only the development
calculated.	2	of S-1 that gets mapped, that gets presented,
MS. MIELKE: Right. But what I'm saying	3	the EDUs presented.
is what we should submit to MDE is this area,	4	I don't mean map in terms
just this part of the map that designates what	5	MR. THOMAS: Sure.
is in S-1 and the units that I mean I can	6	MS. MIELKE: But just for them to
see and agree that phase, what has been called	7	understand where the phases are.
phase two has been divided up into the units	8	MR. CALLAHAN: Yeah. I think everybody i
that are in S-1 and the units that are in S-2.	9	trying to get to the same spot. Yeah.
So it would be to provide them with this	10	MS. HAYTHE: So was MDE not clear in their
map that shows the S-1 district and the phases	11	request?
of development in that and the EDUs. Just	12	MR. THOMAS: The letter specifically says
that, not going on phase two, because it's not	13	the county shall, should provide updated EDUs
necessary at this point because it's still S-2.	14	for all phases of the development.
And unless and until it's S-1, it's irrelevant.	15	MS. HAYTHE: So all?
MR. CALLAHAN: So all you're saying is	16	MR. THOMAS: Yes.
give them the upper south half.	17	MS. HAYTHE: Would be inclusive of S-2 as
MS. MIELKE: Uh-huh.	18	well?
MR. THOMAS: So we would provide the	19	MR. THOMAS: Yes. But in the preceding
information. The plan would have the	20	paragraph, it does say MDE requests that in
information for the phases that are proposed in	21	addition to providing the updated EDUs for
Page 51		Page 53
the S-1, W-1 area. And then it would say	1	phase two and three.
something to the effect of an amendment to the	2	And then as I said before, in the
plan will be required for the portions that are	3	November 2020 letter, they specifically asked
S-2, W-2 and S-3, W-3 and the EDUs and phasing	4	for phase two and three.
information will be provided at that time,	5	But I think what we've discussed makes
something to that effect.	6	sense.
MR. CALLAHAN: Well, at that time, it goes	7	MS. HAYTHE: So again, can we get clarity?
through the whole process.	8	MR. THOMAS: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Exactly.	9	MS. HAYTHE: From MDE getting specifically
MS. MIELKE: Yeah.	10	what they are requesting. Do they want all of
MR. CALLAHAN: So it's kind of	11	the information, inclusive of S-1, W-1, S-2,
MS. HAYTHE: That's fine if they accept	12	W-2, or do they just want us to show the areas
it.	13	that are S-1, W-1?
MR. CALLAHAN: pointless. It's	14	MS. MIELKE: Well, I think before we ask
•		the question, we need to give them the facts.
MS. MIELKE: What's pointless?	16	And the facts are that this is S-1.
•	17	MS. HAYTHE: But they already know that.
	18	MS. MIELKE: No, I don't think they do.
	19	MR. CALLAHAN: Well, Ray just said they
MIS. MIELKE: Exactly. That's what I'm	1/	
MS. MIELKE: Exactly. That's what I'm saying.	20	did.
	there up and there down, it's all been calculated. MS. MIELKE: Right. But what I'm saying is what we should submit to MDE is this area, just this part of the map that designates what is in S-1 and the units that I mean I can see and agree that phase, what has been called phase two has been divided up into the units that are in S-1 and the units that are in S-2. So it would be to provide them with this map that shows the S-1 district and the phases of development in that and the EDUs. Just that, not going on phase two, because it's not necessary at this point because it's irrelevant. MR. CALLAHAN: So all you're saying is give them the upper south half. MS. MIELKE: Uh-huh. MR. THOMAS: So we would provide the information. The plan would have the information for the phases that are proposed in Page 51 the S-1, W-1 area. And then it would say something to the effect of an amendment to the plan will be required for the portions that are S-2, W-2 and S-3, W-3 and the EDUs and phasing information will be provided at that time, something to that effect. MR. CALLAHAN: Well, at that time, it goes through the whole process. MR. THOMAS: Exactly. MS. MIELKE: Yeah. MR. CALLAHAN: So it's kind of MS. HAYTHE: That's fine if they accept it. MR. CALLAHAN: pointless. It's pointless, you know. MS. MIELKE: What's pointless?	there up and there down, it's all been calculated. MS. MIELKE: Right. But what I'm saying is what we should submit to MDE is this area, just this part of the map that designates what is in S-1 and the units that I mean I can see and agree that phase, what has been called phase two has been divided up into the units that are in S-1 and the units that are in S-2. So it would be to provide them with this map that shows the S-1 district and the phases of development in that and the EDUs. Just that, not going on phase two, because it's not necessary at this point because it's still S-2. And unless and until it's S-1, it's irrelevant. MR. CALLAHAN: So all you're saying is give them the upper south half. MS. MIELKE: Uh-huh. MR. THOMAS: So we would provide the information. The plan would have the information for the phases that are proposed in Page 51 the S-1, W-1 area. And then it would say something to the effect of an amendment to the plan will be required for the portions that are S-2, W-2 and S-3, W-3 and the EDUs and phasing information will be provided at that time, it goes through the whole process. MR. CALLAHAN: Well, at that time, it goes through the whole process. MR. THOMAS: Exactly. MS. MIELKE: Yeah. MR. CALLAHAN: So it's kind of MS. HAYTHE: That's fine if they accept it. MR. CALLAHAN: pointless. It's pointless, you know. MS. MIELKE: What's pointless? MR. CALLAHAN: I mean the bottom half has 17

1	Page 54 MS. MIELKE: He thinks they do, but I	1	Page 56 requesting or is not needed? Why do all the
2	don't know.	2	extra legwork?
3	MS. HAYTHE: I'm pretty sure that Maryland	3	I mean I think we need to know
4	Department of Environment is very	4	specifically what they want, provide it, and
5	MS. MIELKE: Well, why would they then ask	5	put it to bed.
6	for EDUs for an S-2 that can't be developed	6	MR. CALLAHAN: Yeah, I agree.
7	yet? That doesn't make any sense.	7	MR. LESHER: And then sometime in
8	MS. HAYTHE: Because it was included in	8	August
9	the	9	MR. CALLAHAN: You can do that?
10	MR. CALLAHAN: I just think	10	MR. THOMAS: I can do that.
11	MS. RYAN: I imagine it's for planning	11	MR. CALLAHAN: Yup. Let's do that.
12	purposes. And they did have a version of this	12	That's fine.
13	map that was included with 281 that had the	13	Mr. Clarke.
14	EDUs. It just didn't have the line-defined	14	
		15	MR. CLARKE: Sounds good. MR. CALLAHAN: Good.
15	phases.		
16	MS. HAYTHE: So can we just clarify, Patrick?	16	MR. LESHER: And perhaps in August or
17		17	September, we can schedule a follow-up workshop
18	MR. THOMAS: Yes.	18	based on what we hear back from MDE.
19	MS. HAYTHE: What MDE is asking us so that	19	MR. CALLAHAN: Right.
20	we can provide it specifically.	20	MS. HAYTHE: Yeah.
21	Because I mean how long have we had this	21	MR. THOMAS: Yes.
1	Page 55		Page 57
1	letter and we're just getting to this point where we're going back to say do you want S-1,	1	MR. LESHER: To provide that direction to
2	S-2?	2	MP. CALLAHAN, Thet's fine. You
3		3	MR. CALLAHAN: That's fine. Yup.
5	Can we just find out what they want and	5	MS. HAYTHE: And publicly share the information.
	then just clarify, as Ms. Mielke had stated?		
6	MR. THOMAS: Yes, we can.	6	MR. THOMAS: Yes, absolutely.
7	MS. HAYTHE: This is all they asked for,	7	MS. HAYTHE: So that we are transparent
8	the S-1 EDUs, and do you want the whole thing	8	with whatever
9	or just a portion of it. MS_MIELVE: And how can they salt for the	9	MS. MIELKE: I think they need to
10	MS. MIELKE: And how can they ask for the	10	understand where the line of division is
11	S-2 if it's an S-1 area? I mean that's down	11	between S-1 and S-2. That has never been
12	the road. Who knows what it's going to be?	12	provided to them.
13	MS. HAYTHE: But they're aware of the	13	MR. CALLAHAN: No. I agree.
14	process and what it takes to amend the plan. MR. LESHER: And do you want to get that	14	MS. MIELKE: And I'm opposed to providing
15	MR. LESHER: And do we want to get that	15	this because it's got a lot of argument and
16	response from MDE before we request the town to	16	shifting sands.
17	come up with a new phasing map or is that going	17	MS. HAYTHE: Ray, have you provided to MDE
18	to be responsive regardless?	18	the map that shows the division between S-1,
19	MS. HAYTHE: I think we should ask MDE for	19	S-2 for the Lakeside project?
20	the information. Why have the town go through	20	MR. CLARKE: We
21	the work of doing it if that's not what MDE is	21	MS. HAYTHE: Other than that map.

	Tulou County	······································
	Page 58	Page 60
1	MR. CLARKE: I think the key here is that	1 MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. Ray, you good?
2	we provided them the big map that shows this is	2 MR. CLARKE: I'm good.
3	S-1, this is S-2.	3 MS. MIELKE: Is that our position at this
4	MS. HAYTHE: The big map like this?	4 time, that that really is what should be
5	MR. CLARKE: But it was not broken up. It	5 presented only, is S-1?
6	was just two different colors. It was a red	6 MR. LESHER: And then we'll get that
7	and let's say yellow that showed the areas.	7 subsequent workshop with MDE's feedback?
8	That was that Resolution 281.	8 MR. CALLAHAN: Correct.
9	MS. HAYTHE: Right. Okay.	9 MR. THOMAS: Yes.
10	MR. CLARKE: So we can I mean we	10 MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. Thanks a lot.
11	received this. We can share this with MDE and	11 Appreciate it.
12	note that this	12 (Work Session concluded at: 5:52 p.m.)
13	MS. MIELKE: No, you can't.	13
14	MR. CLARKE: Well, we'll block this out	14
15	then.	15
16	MR. THOMAS: We'll seek clarification from	16
17	MDE as directed and proceed accordingly.	17
18	MR. CLARKE: I mean one of the things I	18
19	did, Council, is I took the information that	19
20	was provided in the table. So I have that	20
21	information that can show just for the S-1 area	21
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	how it breaks out. MR. CALLAHAN: That's fine. So let's not go any farther with this. Mr. Thomas, you've got your marching orders what we need clarification for MDE? MR. THOMAS: Yes. MR. CALLAHAN: And that's fine. That's what we're going to do. MS. MIELKE: And what are your marching orders, just for the record? MR. THOMAS: I will contact MDE to obtain clarity on whether they want the phasing and	I, Diane Houlihan, a Notary Public in and for the State of Maryland, County of Baltimore City, do hereby certify that the within named, Talbot County Council Audio, personally appeared before me the time and place herein set according to law, was interrogated by counsel. I further certify that the examination was recorded stenographically by me and then transcribed from my stenographic notes to the within printed matter by means of computer-assisted transcription in a true and accurate manner. I further certify that the stipulations contained herein were entered into by counsel in my presence. I further certify that I am not of counsel
13	EDUs for the entire development or only the	11 to any of the parties, not an employee of counsel, nor related to any of the parties, nor in any way
14	portion that's in S-1, W-1.	12 interested in the outcome of this action.
15	MR. CALLAHAN: Correct.	AS WITNESS my hand Notorial Seal this 21st day of July, 2023 at Easter MD
16	MR. LESHER: Fair enough.	14
17	MR. CALLAHAN: Simple as that.	15 Viene Heuliha
18	MS. HAYTHE: Easy.	Diane Houlihan
19	MR. CALLAHAN: Mr. Stepp, you good with	17 Notary Public 18
20	that?	19
21	MR. STEPP: Yes, I am.	20 My commission expires September 16, 2025 21
1	•	

[1 - actual]

1	22:15,17 41:14	2020 4:13	17:9 19:11,16
1 6:9,12 7:2,7	41:16	10:19 19:13	19:19
9:2,3,8,13,13	114 16:10	53:3	407 15:11
10:2,7 11:6,18	126,750 8:17	2021 32:10	5
12:7 13:4,7,12	12th 3:14	2023 1:7 4:3	5 3:21 12:10
24:4,5,9,10,14	1362 61:15	61:13	50 32:13
24:15,19 25:1	16 61:20	2025 61:20	500 18:2 29:10
25:3 26:1,9,16	19 1:7	21st 61:13	29:16 31:20
26:18 27:2,4	1a 14:1	24th 2:16	507 15:9,12
27:12,16 30:3	2	250 6:14 8:17	570 19:15
30:5,5,17,18	2 6:10 9:7,13	16:6,15,18	5:00 1:7
31:2 32:6	9:13 10:7 11:6	18:17 19:10,11	5:52 60:12
34:19,21 35:2	11:18 12:9	21:12	6
35:12,17,20	13:14,16,17	259 3:12	
36:14,16,20	24:10,19 25:1	26th 3:9	60 23:2,7
37:11,12,19	25:3 26:2,9,21	27th 3:7 5:11	8
38:2,7,9,16,18	27:12,16,16	281 2:21 3:12	80,000 17:15
39:4,9 40:2,2	30:3,5,6 32:8	4:15 9:11	17:21 18:3
41:5,17,19	34:19 35:2,13	10:19 11:10,21	9
42:1,19 45:9	35:20 36:16,20	12:2 29:6,8,18	9 3:20
45:12,19,19	38:16,17 39:10	30:2 32:4 37:3	a
46:7,20 47:9	39:19,19,19	39:14 54:13	
47:15,17 48:12	40:1,1,4 41:7	58:8	able 21:9
48:12,15,15	46:20 47:10	3	above 10:3,6
49:16,16 50:6	49:17,17 50:9	3 6:10 9:14,14	10:14
50:9,11,15	50:14 51:4,4	10:9,10,10,11	absolutely 2:13
51:1,1 52:2	52:17 53:11,12	26:2 51:4,4	40:11 46:8
53:11,11,13,13	54:6 55:3,11	300 20:21	57:6
53:16 55:2,8	57:11,19 58:3	304,000 6:15	absolutes 21:12
55:11 57:11,18	2,501 29:10	7:2 10:14	accept 51:12
58:3,21 59:14	20,000 17:18	30th 5:14	accommodate
59:14 60:5	200 20:21	37 20:7	4:20
1,000 7:20	2002 3:11	4	accurate 61:7
1,216 6:13 7:7	2018 16:9	4 4:13	acknowledge
100,000 7:21	2019 12:17	40 23:3,8	27:6,18 action 61:12
8:4,7,21 17:2	29:6 32:4,9	400 8:13 15:10	actual 16:10
19:18 20:6,10	33:7	15:10,12,13	
		10.10,12,10	18:5 21:16

[actually - bit]

actually 3:3 4:2	amendments	34:9 39:10,10	aware 39:3,9
6:13 14:1	5:6	39:19,19 40:1	39:12,16 55:13
20:18 32:21	amenities	41:17 42:11,13	b
36:17	32:12,19	42:19 45:18	
add 43:14	amenity 33:3	50:4 51:1	b 2:21 14:11,20
added 12:21	amount 8:5	55:11 58:21	15:2 42:20
49:19	answer 15:21	areas 11:8	back 13:15
addition 52:21	anytime 22:7	41:19 42:17,18	16:9 17:13
address 2:21	anyway 26:7	45:9,12 46:15	20:13 22:21
38:4	40:21 47:8,10	48:19,19 53:12	24:13 27:15
adequacy 4:19	47:13 51:18	58:7	33:4 36:16
adjustment	apartments	argument	55:2 56:18
15:16	10:16 15:2	57:15	backwards
admitted 38:5	29:19 30:15	article 3:21	25:5
42:4	apologize 8:15	asked 2:20 3:4	balance 32:7
adopted 3:19	appeared 61:3	5:4,11 18:8	baltimore 61:2
4:4 29:6,8,19	applicants	22:19,20 37:1	based 3:11 5:3
30:2 32:4 33:7	23:15	53:3 55:7	5:20 7:5 17:1
37:3	application	asking 5:8 12:3	21:12 56:18
adoption 4:7	26:20 41:12	12:6 19:9	basically 29:15
advisory 4:7	42:2	22:17 33:15	30:2 31:14
advisory 4.7 advocate 28:12	apply 47:9	43:15 44:18	41:11
agree 40:2 50:7	apportioned	48:20 54:19	battles 16:12
56:6 57:13	41:15	assess 4:19	bear 39:5
ahead 45:10	appreciate	assisted 61:7	bed 56:5
allotment	60:11	assumed 12:7	behalf 28:19
20:11	appropriate	assuming 42:5	48:8
allow 15:11	28:6	attached 44:10	believe 5:2
alternatively	approval 4:8	attached 44.10 attention 35:15	10:16 22:16
25:7	4:15	attention 33.13	31:7
amend 26:4	approved 3:20	audio 61:3	better 2:11
55:14	32:21 41:11	august 12:17	32:18
amended 9:10	approximately	12:21 56:8,16	big 7:11 58:2,4
12:3	15:10,12,13	available 30:11	bigger 16:15
amendment	17:9 19:16	30:16 34:11	27:21 28:3
4:5 5:5 26:4,7	april 2:16	35:10	bip 36:10,10,10
39:21 40:9	area 9:7,8,11	average 18:10	bit 2:11
47:10 51:2	13:21 14:18	average 10.10	
17.10 31.2	13.21 11.10		

L. 1. 50.14	7.17.10.0.5.10	26.12.42.4.9	ala a a i C i a a 4 i a a a
block 58:14	7:17,19 8:5,10	36:12 42:4,8	classifications
board 4:7	9:4 14:2,4,8,13	changed 12:9	2:19 3:10,18
bottom 6:18	20:16 21:21	12:10 29:5	3:19 6:9 7:18
9:13 51:17	28:8,15,17	changing 35:19	26:5
bound 36:12	29:2 38:19	38:6	classified 39:4
boundary 10:3	39:5 44:17	character 45:6	clear 38:14
break 33:16	45:10,13,17	chuck 1:14	52:10
breakdown	47:19 48:2,6	city 61:2	clearly 27:10
7:13	49:2,4,9,18,20	clarification	closely 32:10
breaks 59:1	50:16 51:7,11	14:16 20:17	colors 58:6
breathing	51:14,17,21	58:16 59:5	column 6:9
32:17	52:8 53:19	clarified 44:20	come 11:18
briefly 2:14	54:10 56:6,9	clarify 3:17	13:15 17:13
bring 35:15	56:11,15,19	20:15 38:21	20:13 35:4,21
37:13	57:3,13 59:2,7	54:16 55:5	36:15 42:10
broad 7:10	59:15,17,19	clarity 43:16	47:20 55:17
broke 29:9	60:1,8,10	43:17 49:15	comes 10:5
broken 7:14	called 10:8	53:7 59:12	coming 2:4
39:9 58:5	43:11,12 50:7	clarke 2:6 3:8	commercial
build 29:13	capacity 17:16	5:19 6:6,7 7:7	7:16
31:18 43:21	22:18,18	8:1,6,11,15	commission 4:6
45:3,19 46:4,6	care 42:7	9:20 10:2,11	61:20
building 20:21	case 16:7 36:14	11:3,12,15,20	committed
21:5	cat 25:7	12:8,12 13:18	36:8
built 14:2,5	category 6:12	14:3,7,9,14	community
31:20 34:8	causing 31:4	15:5,18 16:1,4	32:11 33:3
business 28:20	center 32:12	17:7,11 18:7	comp 39:21
buxton 31:6	33:3	18:12,21 19:7	43:7,9
c	central 32:15	19:10 20:19	compare 33:1
c 14:12 15:3,4	32:19	21:16 22:7	complete 33:20
calculated 50:2	certain 3:1 8:5	38:20 39:3,8	comprehensive
calculation	24:6	40:5,10 42:5	9:10
	certainly 27:17	56:13,14 57:20	compromise
49:10	certify 61:3,5,8	58:1,5,10,14	30:1
call 14:19	61:10	58:18 60:2	computer 61:7
42:14	chambers 1:10	clarke's 3:15	concentrate
callahan 1:14	change 26:4,9	classification	23:5
2:3 6:3,6 7:3,9	32:9 33:2 36:9	9:3	
	1	1	

concerned 24:3	00mty 1.1 1	delinested 11.9	dovolonon 11.5
concluded	county 1:1,1 2:15,17 4:7	delineated 44:8 delmarva	developer 11:5 11:11 12:9
60:12	16:5 22:12	11:15,16 31:6	13:9 23:13,15
conditions	27:20 31:5,12	31:9,17	24:15,17 25:12
21:14	42:10 48:19	demanding	26:12 40:19
conform 15:17	52:13 61:2,3	16:14	47:16
25:13,16,18	county's 27:21		
confusing	28:4 42:14	department 8:2 54:4	developer's 28:6
33:12	43:9	depending 18:4	development
confusion 27:8	couple 28:13	described 7:1	3:5 4:18 5:13
31:5 47:21	course 21:1	design 33:10	7:13 9:11
connected 18:3	current 3:10	designate 24:8	13:17 19:20
21:7	4:1	designated 24.8	20:5 23:17
connote 33:13	currently 20:8	13:3 27:10	24:11 25:2
consideration	curry 4:13 5:17	38:18 45:19	29:12 30:9
21:19	cut 10:5	49:16	32:14 33:14
contact 59:11	d	designates	35:3,5,13,21
contain 4:16		48:12 50:5	39:18 40:13
contained 61:9	d 14:12	designating	41:21 47:16
control 48:14	data 18:15 19:8	45:11	50:12 52:1,14
correct 2:19	21:8,9 date 22:2	designation	59:13
7:11 8:4,6,16	dated 4:12 29:6	24:4 31:3	devise 13:9
12:8 17:7,7,7	dave 1:18	37:12	diane 1:21 61:2
17:10 18:11,18	day 6:15 8:18	designations	61:16
19:7 20:2	16:6,11 18:9	26:17	difference
21:21 39:17	18:10,17 19:19	designed 32:21	44:21 45:2
40:14 46:18	20:7 61:13	detailed 32:13	different 4:17
47:11 49:8	deal 13:7	determination	18:20 45:6
59:15 60:8	decided 32:15	48:17	46:15 58:6
corrected 2:20	42:8	determine	differently
council 1:1,4	define 17:8	48:19	11:2
1:10,13 2:7 4:8	18:9 19:4	determined	directed 12:4
5:11 6:3,7	22:20 41:18	49:7	58:17
58:19 61:3	48:19	develop 31:10	direction 57:1
council's 5:10	defined 49:6	48:16	discharge
counsel 61:4,9	54:14	developed	18:15
61:10,11		30:13 41:3	discussed 3:7
		54:6	53:5

[discussion - followed]

discussion	5:1,8,12,20 6:8	everybody 2:4	far 6:20 24:3
33:12 35:1	6:12,13 7:7,11	13:18 14:5	farther 44:3
district 46:7	7:14 8:14	52:8	59:3
50:11	13:16 15:9,10	evolution 33:9	featured 12:16
divided 50:8	17:3,6,9 18:9	evolve 32:18	feedback 60:7
division 57:10	18:13 19:11,15	evolved 23:17	feel 15:11
57:18	19:16,19 24:6	25:8	figure 18:20
document	24:16,18 26:21	exactly 14:3,3	figures 2:17
32:17 34:16	27:15 35:4,18	51:9,19	file 20:12
documents	36:1 38:8 40:3	examination	final 4:8
34:5	41:17 42:1	61:5	find 55:4
doing 22:16	44:10 47:17	example 7:15	fine 51:12
26:7 27:9	48:11 49:7,11	exhibit 31:5,8	56:12 57:3
39:18 41:10	49:13 50:12	34:15	59:2,7
55:21	51:4 52:3,13	exhibits 2:20	first 5:2,9 6:17
dotta 43:3,3,3	52:21 54:6,14	28:21	7:2 8:7 17:8
43:4,4,4	55:8 59:13	existence 29:18	23:5 37:6,19
draft 3:9,16 7:4	effect 32:3 45:7	existing 20:9	38:1 44:1,7
drafted 5:15	51:2,6	expanded	five 9:2,8,17
37:1	eight 15:15	12:18	12:11,16,19
draw 10:4	either 23:9	expect 37:13	13:3,8 22:20
drawn 23:11	42:15	expires 61:20	27:4 29:15,20
25:20	emphasize 24:7	explain 27:13	30:5,14,19
driven 21:3	employee	36:15 41:20	32:6 33:16
dynamic 21:13	61:11	explicit 34:1	37:4 44:3
e	engineered	extra 56:2	flow 5:20 6:14
e 3:8,15	32:21	f	16:10 17:1,2,5
early 25:13,14	entered 61:9	f 1:14	17:20 18:4,5
east 28:20	entire 59:13	facility 20:9	19:2,4 21:8,9
easton 1:10	environment	fact 25:10	21:16
61:13	3:21 8:3 54:4	38:15	flows 16:14
easy 59:18	envisioned	facts 28:13	focus 36:14
edu 6:14 8:18	45:7	53:15,16	focused 35:16
16:6,10 17:3	essentially 9:12	fair 11:4 59:16	follow 40:20
17:20	established	fairness 16:4	42:12 43:19
edus 1:5 2:10	39:2	family 7:16	56:17
3:4 4:11,17,21	estimates 5:4	30:15	followed 3:13

[following - includes]

following	giving 4:14	h	hooked 20:8
19:17 40:19	go 22:10,14	half 9:12,13,13	hopefully 21:9
forces 27:21	24:12,13,20	30:2,3 38:6	houlihan 1:21
28:3	27:14,16 30:10	50:17 51:17	61:2,16
form 12:13	34:21 35:2,20	halfway 9:18	house 18:5,5
format 5:16	40:7,8 43:12	hand 2:8 28:9	46:4,4
forward 24:21	45:10,15 46:14	61:13	houses 18:2
39:20 41:9	46:16,20,20	happen 16:20	20:21 21:5,6
four 30:6 32:7	51:18 55:20	16:21 17:19	housing 30:13
44:3 46:17	59:3	18:4,21 21:2	huh 50:18
fun 18:2	goal 7:10	21:13 22:8	i
further 49:15	goes 18:14 25:9	hard 13:19	i.e. 7:15
61:5,8,10	43:2 51:7	haythe 1:16 9:5	idea 42:12
future 22:1,2	going 2:8,9	20:15,17 36:17	identical 33:6
44:4 45:7	6:10 10:4	45:14,18 46:8	identified 6:9
g	16:20 17:13,15	47:8,12,18	7:1 10:13,17
gallon 20:10	17:16,21 19:4	51:12 52:10,15	42:18,19
gallons 6:14,15	21:2,3,13 22:8	52:17 53:7,9	identifies 34:17
7:2,20 8:4,8,17	22:12 24:2,10	53:17,21 54:3	identify 12:13
8:18,21 10:14	24:19 29:14,14	54:8,16,19	identifying
16:6,10 17:2,5	30:10 31:10,15	55:7,13,19	16:21 45:8
17:15,18,21	31:17,19 32:17	56:20 57:4,7	ii 16:7
18:9,10,17	34:8 37:4 38:5	57:17,21 58:4	imagine 54:11
19:19 20:6	39:8,18 40:20	58:9 59:18	immediate 6:17
22:15,18 41:14	42:7,12,13	head 49:21	10:13
game 13:2	45:15,20,21	headed 2:12	important 29:4
general 31:10	46:3,14,16,19	hear 56:18	importantly
40:20	47:1,4 48:16	hearings 4:9	44:9
getting 14:2,5	50:13 55:2,12	help 2:11 42:6	inadvertently
22:21 53:9	55:17 59:8	43:14	3:1
55:1	good 56:14,15	hey 42:8 47:19	inaudible 16:9
give 13:16	59:19 60:1,2	highlight 6:11	49:1
26:21 33:16	green 42:13	hit 18:3	include 4:6
36:14 38:17	growth 34:6	hits 17:14	included 37:6
40:3 50:17	guess 6:8 11:3	homes 20:7	49:12 54:8,13
53:15	11:5 15:6	honest 15:19	includes 20:7
	42:10,18 47:21		

[inclusive - manage]

inclusive 52:17	issuing 17:1	law 34:4 61:4	lines 25:19
53:11	j	lee 4:13	listed 42:9
incorporated	july 1:7 3:14	legwork 56:2	listen 39:6
43:7	12:15,19 61:13	lesher 1:15	little 2:11
incorporates	june 3:7 5:14	8:13 9:6 10:18	10:17 43:2,15
5:16	k	12:14 15:7,20	living 32:17
indicated 13:20		16:2 17:4,8	logical 47:4,6
15:8	keasha 1:16	18:6,8,18 19:5	long 54:21
information	keep 36:5	19:8,11,14	longer 23:18
4:18 5:5,14,16	key 58:1	20:14 21:15,18	look 4:3 7:4 9:9
5:21 7:5 15:14	kind 8:11 10:4	22:1,5,19	22:21 32:10
23:10 35:8	10:4,6 38:21	23:16,20 25:6	43:9 44:7
36:15 37:14	51:11	26:1,6,10 27:6	looking 11:7
48:20 49:12	know 2:10 6:18	27:17 28:3	17:19 37:8
50:20,21 51:5	6:21 8:11 12:1	33:15 34:12	looks 15:16
53:11 55:20	13:1 17:19	37:18 38:1,10	lot 21:3 43:17
57:5 58:19,21	18:2 19:18	40:12,15,17	57:15 60:10
infrastructure	20:1,3,21 21:2	41:6,8 43:14	lots 7:16
34:5,10	22:5 24:9 34:8	44:14,16,18	lyndsey 5:13
intend 31:18	35:11,13 36:8	45:1 46:3 47:1	47:19 48:8
32:1	42:4 43:1,8	47:4,7 55:15	lynn 1:17
intended 3:16	51:15 53:17,21	56:7,16 57:1	lynn's 23:1
4:1 24:1 32:5	54:2 56:3	59:16 60:6	m
43:21 44:9	known 37:3	letter 2:15,16	made 33:5
intentions 30:9	knows 55:12	3:13 4:12,14	mail 3:8,15
interested	l	5:18 19:13,17	major 20:12
61:12	l 1:17	37:7 48:18	21:19
interrogated	lakeside 1:5	49:13 52:12	make 13:5 14:4
61:4	2:10 8:1 12:20	53:3 55:1	23:12 25:18
introduce	41:2 45:7	likelihood	30:2 34:9 54:7
28:18 48:6	57:19	20:20	makes 40:6
involved 22:12	land 9:11 33:9	likely 16:20	44:15 47:18
irrelevant	language 19:17	30:11,13	53:5
40:13 50:15	43:20	limit 41:19	making 15:15
issue 4:10,11	large 33:2	line 6:16 23:10	25:15
issued 8:2 15:9	larger 33:5	27:11 38:15	manage 17:17
15:17		39:1 49:5,6,21	
		54:14 57:10	

Veritext Legal Solutions 202-803-8830 -- 410-494-8300

[management - notary]

management	4:10,13,14 5:4	meaningless	modify 25:17
17:16 34:6	7:12 11:21	36:11	monitored
manner 61:7	12:5 13:6 16:5	means 61:7	18:13
map 9:9 12:15	16:12 17:12,13	meeting 49:14	months 15:15
12:19,20 25:14	18:16 21:10	members 1:13	move 32:15
25:16,17,18,20	22:10,10,15,20	mes 38:11	33:3 39:20
25:21 26:1,2	24:7,13 26:18	mielke 1:17	moving 41:9
29:6,7,17	33:15 34:7	11:9,13,16	multiple 30:12
31:14,19 32:10	35:7,9,11	12:6,9,15	n
32:10 36:7	36:18 39:1,8	13:20 14:14	n 1:16
43:5,6 44:8,20	39:15 40:17	15:4 19:21	named 61:3
47:14 48:10	43:15 44:2	22:4 24:3 25:4	natural 25:9
49:13,15,19	48:18 49:13	25:21 26:8,15	necessarily
50:5,11 52:4	50:4 52:10,20	27:7 28:2 30:4	19:19 37:12
54:13 55:17	53:9 54:19	30:17,20 31:11	necessary 4:19
57:18,21 58:2	55:16,19,21	34:20 35:9,11	50:14
58:4	56:18 57:17	36:5,7 37:15	need 16:2,16
mapped 52:2	58:11,17 59:5	37:21 38:3,12	24:13 40:21
maps 3:2,9,16	59:11	39:6,7,17 40:3	44:2 47:14
4:1,3 11:10	mde's 6:14	40:6,11,14,16	53:15 56:3
12:16 23:11	8:17 12:2	41:4,7,9,16	57:9 59:5
26:4 27:9 28:7	16:17 19:17	44:12 45:8,11	needed 34:11
28:16,21 29:5	37:18 43:19	46:2,6,11,15	56:1
39:14	44:9 60:7	46:18 47:14	negotiated 30:1
marching 59:4	mean 12:10	49:1 50:3,18	neighborhoods
59:9	13:1 20:19	51:10,16,19	45:5
market 21:3,14	24:11 27:17	52:1,6 53:14	never 57:11
maryland 1:1	33:18 34:3	53:18 54:1,5	new 8:20 14:17
1:10 8:2 54:3	35:7 36:10,19	55:5,10 57:9	55:17
61:1,2	37:1 38:5	57:14 58:13	nilly 47:2
master 32:14	39:13,17 40:6	59:9 60:3	north 40:20
32:20 33:9	41:18 42:5,7	minute 48:2,3	45:3 49:5
36:3	42:10 43:15	mistaken 22:17	northern 10:20
matter 33:19 61:7	45:14,18 46:3 47:13 50:6	misunderstan 28:14	23:1,6 44:6
md 61:13	51:17 52:4	modification	northwest 32:1
mde 2:16,16	54:21 55:11	20:13 21:20	notary 61:2,17
3:9,13,17 4:8	56:3 58:10,18	20.13 21.20 22:4,6,8	
3.7,13,1/4.8	20.2 20.10,18	22.4,0,0	

[note - place]

note 37:2 58:12	order 45:16,20	performance	53:4
noted 30:4	46:1 47:6	19:8	phased 34:10
noted 50.4 notes 61:6	orders 59:5,10		phases 3:4 4:17
nother 49:10	ordinance 29:9	period 35:1	-
		permit 7:20 8:1	5:2,3,9,12 6:18
notice 4:14	originally 4:12	13:15 15:9,17	6:19,21 7:13
noting 14:15	11:12,13 13:10	17:2,9 18:15	8:8 9:1,15
notorial 61:13	37:2	20:12 21:19	10:19 11:1,1,8
november 4:13	outcome 61:12	22:7,11 41:10	11:17 12:4,7
19:13 53:3	outline 36:19	personally 61:3	12:13,16,18
number 2:21	overlay 9:15	perspective	13:2,8,17 18:9
4:17 6:12 11:7	49:16	37:11	22:21 23:6,6,7
18:13 23:6	p	pertaining	24:5,11,14
24:6 34:18	p.m. 1:7 60:12	37:19 38:2	26:17 27:3,11
44:9,10	paragraph	pete 1:15	27:14 29:10
numbers 7:6	52:20	phase 7:14,15	30:12 31:3
16:19 33:6	parcel 10:8	8:9,13,16,19	33:16,20 34:21
0	parcels 2:20	9:5,7,7,17,17	35:4,17,18
obtain 59:11	3:1,2	9:18 11:10	36:16,20 37:4
obtained 31:6	park 33:4	12:10,10,18,19	37:5,6,9,11,19
occurring 31:1	part 3:3 13:3,7	12:21 13:3,9	38:1 40:4 42:1
31:2	13:8 20:10	13:11,11,13,21	42:9 43:6 44:1
official 31:14	30:4,5 35:3,12	14:11,16,19,21	44:7,11 45:5
okay 2:3,12 6:4	39:13 44:6	14:21 15:2,3,7	47:15 48:11
7:3 8:10 14:8	50:5	15:8,16 17:6	50:11,21 52:7
14:13 24:14	parties 61:11	18:13,19 19:15	52:14 54:15
29:2 41:11	61:11	19:20 20:4	phasing 4:11
42:13 43:10	parts 32:5	24:18,20 29:13	5:12 17:5 23:4
44:14 45:13	parts 32.3 passed 25:14	29:14,15,19,20	25:11,16,18,20
58:9 60:1,10	_ -	29:21 30:4,6,6	29:5,7 31:14
,	past 34:21 49:9	30:13 31:1,20	32:10 33:5,17
once 19:1	patrick 2:6,9	32:6,7,11,15	40:12,13,18,19
operating 19:1	54:17	32:19 33:4,5	41:1 43:19
opinion 24:4	pattern 40:21	33:12 34:18	45:4 51:4
opposed 45:3	pen 10:4	41:11,13 42:11	55:17 59:12
57:14	percent 23:2,3	42:15,15,16,20	pick 45:18
orange 14:10	23:7,8,20	43:2,3,11,12	picture 7:10,11
29:20 42:11	perfectly 25:9	48:11 49:14	place 17:17
		50:7,8,13 53:1	21:4 29:7 61:4

[plan - record]

plan 4:5 5:7	position 11:17	process 3:20	purposes 54:12
9:10 17:16	16:18 60:3	4:5 11:21	put 17:3 56:5
23:4 25:11,16	possible 37:7	22:14 24:12	q
25:18,20 32:3	possibly 8:8	25:15 40:9	question 7:9
32:14,20 33:9	power 31:6,9	51:8,18 55:14	15:21 16:3
33:9,17 36:1,4	31:17	processes	23:1 38:20
40:1,13 41:1,2	preceding	40:10	53:15
43:8,10,19	52:19	produced 31:9	questions 6:2,4
45:4 50:20	predict 36:2	31:11 36:7	6:20
51:3 55:14	preliminary	product 30:11	
planned 34:10	5:4	project 30:3	r
planning 4:6	premature	57:19	rate 16:10 17:3
34:5 54:11	38:4	properly 3:19	17:20 18:5,5
plans 23:17	prepared 5:19	property 23:2	19:2,4
25:8,10 34:4	7:5	proposed 50:21	rates 5:20
plant 8:20 16:8	presence 61:9	proposing 8:7	21:16
17:14 19:1,4	presented 23:4	47:16	rather 25:15
21:4	39:15 52:2,3	provide 2:17	32:14
play 27:21 28:4	60:5	5:11 28:12	ray 2:6 5:19
playing 13:1	presumably	37:13 42:3	20:2 38:21
please 48:3	41:13 45:1	48:20 50:10,19	48:2 53:19
point 2:15 4:9	pretty 6:15	52:13 54:20	57:17 60:1
6:1,4 8:12	54:3	56:4 57:1	real 16:17
10:12 13:6,6	printed 61:6	provided 5:1,5	realize 39:1
18:16 20:20	prior 10:13	5:14,21 7:6	really 11:4,6
27:20 28:7	priority 6:17	29:1 34:14	29:4 33:2
34:20 35:6	probably 9:20	42:2 48:1,21	35:16 45:4,5
37:17 39:20	23:13 27:19	51:5 57:12,17	49:21 60:4
50:14 55:1	problem 16:17	58:2,20	recap 2:14
pointless 51:14	49:4	providing	received 2:15
51:15,16	procedural	52:21 57:14	58:11
points 3:14	27:20	public 4:6,8	reclassify 40:1
pool 32:12	procedures	32:12,18 61:2	reconcile 9:6
portion 9:2,14	28:1,4	61:17	reconciliation
34:18 55:9	proceed 26:12	publicly 57:4	17:12
59:14	32:1 58:17	pud 29:7,9	record 16:9
portions 51:3	proceedings	pull 10:1	59:10
	2:1		

[recorded - saying]

recorded 16:13	reported 1:20	rest 35:21	24:10,10,14,15
61:6	16:14 18:15	41:21	24:19,19 25:1
red 58:6	19:3	review 3:12 4:4	25:1,3,3 26:1,2
redesignated	reporting	12:2 39:15	26:2,9,9,16,18
12:19	16:13	reviewing	26:21 27:2,4
redesignation	representative	39:14	27:12,12,16,16
25:1	4:2	right 7:17,19	27:16 30:3,3,5
redirected	represented	8:16 9:1 10:3	30:5,5,6,17,18
20:10	24:5	10:16 12:14,14	31:2 32:6,8
redraw 28:7	request 34:2	12:14 14:7,21	34:19,19,21
redrawn 10:21	40:21 52:11	18:18 19:14,14	35:2,2,12,13
19:16	55:16	20:14 26:6,6	35:17,20,20
refine 25:10	requested 2:17	26:16 27:5	36:14,16,16,20
reflect 41:1	7:12 35:7	28:15 30:19,20	36:20 37:11,19
45:2	36:18	34:12 35:16	38:2,7,9,16,16
reflecting	requesting	37:21 40:5	38:17,18 39:4
46:12	22:15 38:11	41:16 43:16	39:9,10,19,19
reflects 43:20	53:10 56:1	45:17 46:9	40:1,2,4 41:5,7
regarding 1:5	requests 52:20	47:7 49:18	41:17,19 42:1
regardless	require 8:19	50:3 51:21	42:19 45:9,12
55:18	13:9 29:11	56:19 58:9	45:19 46:7,20
region 16:7	required 51:3	road 55:12	46:20 47:9,10
regulate 48:15	requires 16:5	route 32:13	47:15,17 48:12
regulatory	requiring	ryan 5:13	48:15 49:16,17
31:19 32:3	18:16	28:19 42:3	50:6,9,9,11,14
reiterated 3:14	resolution 2:21	45:14 47:21	50:15 51:1,4,4
related 61:11	3:3,12,12 4:15	48:5,8,8 49:3,8	52:2,17 53:11
relationship	4:16 5:15	49:12,19 54:11	53:11,13,16
27:11	10:19 11:10,21	S	54:6 55:2,3,8
relevant 26:19	12:2 39:14	s 6:9,10,10,12	55:11,11 57:11
27:2,3	58:8	7:2 9:2,7,8,13	57:11,18,19
remaining	respect 11:18	9:13,14 10:2,7	58:3,3,21
17:18 24:11	response 4:10	10:7,9,10 11:6	59:14 60:5
remind 37:15	55:16	11:6,18,18	sake 44:10
replace 4:1	responsive	12:7,9,10 13:4	sands 57:16
report 3:11 4:3	38:10 40:17	13:7,12,14,16	saying 7:19
21:9 38:8,8	44:2 55:18	13:17 24:4,5,9	26:8,10,11
		, ,	28:8 36:11,13

44.12.46.12		J J. 21.16	
44:13 46:13	sequencing	showed 31:16	specific 24:18
50:3,16 51:20	34:2 43:20,21	58:7	33:10
says 29:13 30:9	44:5,6 48:13	showing 3:9	specifically
36:8 38:16	sequential	shown 3:1 35:5	20:2,4 52:12
40:19 52:12	29:11 33:13	shows 36:4	53:3,9 54:20
schedule 56:17	41:2 45:5	43:6 47:15	56:4
seal 61:13	serve 4:21 20:4	50:11 57:18	specifying
second 31:20	service 2:18	58:2	33:18
35:3 49:4	14:18	shuffling 13:2	split 9:12
section 10:21	session 1:4 2:5	sic 30:5	spot 52:9
13:12,13,21	2:9 3:6,8 5:10	side 33:4	spreadsheet
14:1,11 15:1,2	60:12	signature	7:8
15:3,7 32:11	set 14:11 17:17	61:15	stages 47:15
38:9 41:5	42:21 61:4	similar 5:17	standpoint
42:12,20,20	sewer 2:18	simple 59:17	42:14
43:3,4	3:10,17 4:5,20	simpler 27:19	start 32:1
sections 13:10	5:7 9:10 10:14	simplest 26:15	33:21
29:11,16 32:20	14:17 23:11	simply 3:16	starting 31:15
see 7:6 9:16	34:4 39:10,21	28:4	state 15:17
13:19 28:9	43:8,10	single 7:16	17:4 34:4 61:1
50:7	shape 12:12	30:15	61:2
seek 58:16	share 57:4	sit 28:17 48:3	stated 4:15
seem 15:12	58:11	sits 9:18	55:5
seems 13:5	shared 11:11	six 12:18,21	states 20:18
seen 6:8	sharing 27:9	skin 25:7	status 6:17
sense 44:15	shifting 57:16	somebody 43:8	10:14
47:18 53:6	shops 12:20	sorry 25:4 31:1	stenographic
54:7	show 9:20	39:7 48:5	61:6
sent 3:8	11:17 31:9	sort 10:21	stenographic
sentence 49:3	33:8 49:16	sounds 56:14	61:6
separate 31:3	53:12 58:21	south 31:15,17	stepp 1:18
september	showalter	32:2 40:20	59:19,21
56:17 61:20	28:10,11,16,19	45:3 49:5,6	stipulations
sequence 31:10	28:19 29:3	50:17	61:8
31:21 33:19	30:18,21 31:8	southern 23:3	study 16:8
47:5	31:13 34:3,13	23:7	subdivision
sequenced 11:1	34:17 35:7,10	speak 28:11,15	33:10,10 38:7
	36:3,6		38:15

[subject - treatment]

subject 3:5	think 6:7,20	53:8 54:18	tonight 2:4,7
submit 50:4	8:6 10:8 11:20	55:6 56:10,21	took 58:19
submitted	11:21 13:20,21	57:6 58:16	tools 34:6
25:14 41:12	14:14,20 16:4	59:4,6,11 60:9	top 9:12
subsequent	17:13 18:12,21	thought 44:12	total 6:14 7:6
60:7	19:21 20:6	three 5:2,3,9	8:17
substantive	21:11 23:21	6:17 10:20	touch 30:19
33:2	24:13 27:7,7	14:16,20 23:5	town 2:19 3:11
subtitle 3:21	27:13 28:13	24:2,5 27:14	3:18 5:7,11,13
		,	, ,
summary 5:20	29:3 31:4	28:21,21 29:15	5:21 8:3 9:16
sure 2:13 14:4	32:18 33:11,12	30:6,10 31:3	11:5 12:5 15:6
18:6 21:15	34:3,7 35:9	31:16 32:7	16:3,5 17:12
34:9 52:5 54:3	37:2 38:12,14	35:17 37:4,6,9	18:17 22:9,9
system 39:11	39:17 41:18	37:19 38:1	23:12,14 25:11
39:11	42:7 43:16	42:15,20 43:12	26:12 29:7,18
systems 4:20	44:15 45:20	44:1,7,11	32:4,16 34:13
t	46:9 47:21	46:17 53:1,4	37:13 42:7
table 5:1 10:17	48:10,13,18	tied 19:20	43:5,19 44:18
43:2 58:20	49:14 52:8	tier 23:11	48:9,14,17,21
take 33:2	53:5,14,18	25:14,16,17,18	55:16,20 57:2
taken 21:18	54:10 55:19	25:20,21 26:1	town's 4:20
takes 55:14	56:3 57:9 58:1	26:1,2 28:7	28:6 29:9
talbot 1:1 61:3	thinks 54:1	time 5:7 8:12	townhomes
talk 12:5	thomas 2:13	10:12,19 11:10	7:16
talking 39:1	6:5 7:4,12,18	18:14,16 23:17	townhouses
tell 23:21 26:18	9:1,9 10:1,10	24:8 25:8,9	30:15
terms 52:4	17:10 18:11	27:8 30:12	transcribed
thank 6:5	19:12,18 20:1	31:2 36:2,9,12	61:6
44:16 48:4	22:3 23:14,19	37:3,8 38:4	transcript 2:1
thanks 2:4	23:21 25:3	39:20 41:20	transcription
60:10	26:3 31:7	51:5,7 60:4	61:7
thing 15:18	34:16 36:21	61:4	transparent
20:19 24:8	37:16 40:7	timeframe	57:7
26:15 27:3	41:14 44:15	21:10	trappe 2:18 8:3
29:3 31:4 55:8	46:9,13,16,19	times 16:15	28:20 48:9,14
things 12:1	47:3,6,11	title 3:20	48:17,21
58:18	50:19 51:9	today's 3:5	treatment 8:20
30.10	52:5,12,16,19		16:8 17:14

[treatment - yeah]

19:1,3 21:4	under 29:18	v	5:6 9:10 34:3
trigger 17:15	31:2 34:4	various 47:15	39:11,21 43:7
triggered 22:9	understand	various 17.13	43:10
true 61:7	2:11 29:4 30:7	version 54:12	way 12:12 25:6
trust 28:20	31:5 32:16	versus 34:19	26:13 27:19
try 7:10	38:13 52:7	49:17	42:9 43:12
trying 21:11	57:10	view 27:20	61:11
42:6 45:21	understanding	28:7	we've 2:5,5,6,7
48:14 49:20	37:18,20	vision 26:13	5:15 7:14
52:9	understands		14:17 15:14
turn 6:1 16:2	14:5	W	39:2 42:17
25:17	understood	w 7:7 9:3,13,13	43:11,11 53:5
turning 4:11	32:5 35:12,14	9:14 10:10,11	welcome 2:3
two 5:3 6:19	47:8	37:12 39:19	whatnot 15:6
8:9,19 9:2,7,18	unit 29:10 33:6	40:1,2 45:19	21:6,17
9:19 12:10,18	units 27:1	48:12,15 49:16	willy 47:2
13:3,8,9,10,10	29:10,16 31:20	49:17 51:1,4,4	witness 61:13
13:12,13,13	34:8,18 50:6,8	53:11,12,13	word 33:11
14:19,21 15:1	50:9	59:14	40:18
15:2,3 16:15	unprogrammed	wait 48:2	work 1:4 2:5,9
18:19 21:1,6	26:2	waiting 4:9	3:8 5:10 22:10
24:2,18 27:4	updated 2:17	walk 43:1	55:21 60:12
29:4,14,21	3:4 32:20 33:8	want 2:3 14:19	worked 11:5
30:10,14,19	52:13,21	17:4,6 20:11	works 4:7
31:16 32:6,7	upfront 19:5,9	23:18 25:12	workshop
33:1 37:9	upgrade 8:20	28:11,12 32:19	56:17 60:7
41:13 42:15,16	upper 50:17	34:7,9 37:9	worthwhile
42:17,18 43:4	use 7:15,16	39:5 42:8,21	14:15
43:4,11 46:17	16:6,14,18	53:10,12 55:2	wrong 20:3
50:8,13 53:1,4	17:5,6 18:17	55:4,8,15 56:4	y
58:6	18:20 19:9	59:12	yeah 8:1,15
u	25:19 33:11	wanted 49:15	10:2 11:3
uh 50:18	used 5:17	wants 34:7	12:15 25:4
ultimately 7:1	19:12	wastewater	45:10,14 46:13
10:2 23:13	using 16:13	16:7 17:14	51:10 52:8,9
25:11 42:16	40:18	19:3 22:18	56:6,20
23.11 72.10	utilizing 6:13	water 2:18	30.0,20
		3:10,17 4:5,20	

[years - zoning]

years 21:1 yellow 29:20 58:7 yup 56:11 57:3

Z

zoning 29:18 30:8